THE NATIONAL ERA.

G. BAILEY, JUN., EDITOR AND PROPRIETOR; JOHN G. WHITTIER, CORRESPONDING EDITOR.

VOL. II. WASHINGTON, THURSDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1848. NO. 102.

The National Era is Published Weekly, on Seventh Street, opposite the Patent Office.

TERMS.

Two dollars per annum, *payable in advance*.

Advertisements not exceeding ten lines inserted three times for one dollar; every subsequent insertion, twenty-five cents.

BULL & BLANCHARD, PRINTERS.

BUSINESS NOTICES.

☞As this paper is not sent to any new subscriber, unless paid for in advance, the reception of it will be a sufficient receipt.

☞Agents will notice that we keep an account with each subscriber. Hence no accounts will be kept with the agents; and in transmitting money on which they are entitled to a commission, they will retain the amount of their commission, and, *as all cases*, forward the money with the names, so as to make the account even at each remittance.

☞Agents and others who wish to send us fractional parts of a dollar, can now do so without increasing the postage, by remitting *pre-paid post office stamps*, which can now be obtained at any post office.

☞We invite the attention of those who are remitting moneys to the following table, showing the rate of discount on uncurrent money in the city. We earnestly hope that those who send money will endeavor to send such bank bills as are at the lowest discount:

Washington, D. C.	Par.	Maryland - - -	1-2 dis.
Baltimore - - -	Par.	Virginia - - -	3-4 dis.
Philadelphia - -	Par.	Western Virginia	1 1-2 dis.
New York State -	Par.	Ohio - - - -	1 1-2 dis.
New York State -	3-4 dis.	Indiana - - -	2 1-4 dis.
New England - -	1-2 dis.	Kentucky - - -	1 dis.
New Jersey - - -	3-4 dis.	Tennessee - - -	3 1-2 dis.
Eastern Penn. - -	3-4 dis.	Michigan - - -	3 dis.
Western Penn. - -	1 1-2 dis.	Canada - - -	5 dis.

THE NATIONAL ERA.

WASHINGTON, DECEMBER 11, 1848.

For the National Era.

SKETCHES OF MODERN REFORMS AND REFORMERS.

IN GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND.

No. 10.—*Ireland—The Causes of its Debasement—Dublin—Its Memories of the Captivity of the Country—The Antiquity and Power of the Papal Church—Treaty of Limerick—The Catholic Penal Code of Ireland—Its Origin—Opinions of Penn, Montesquieu, Burke, and Blackstone, concerning it—Its Amelioration.*

The subject matter of this sketch will be, the Catholic Penal Code, and its repeal by act of Parliament, in 1829.

The act of Emancipation extended to Catholics alike to all parts of the United Kingdom. But, as the large majority of the professors of that faith dwelt in Ireland, and as they composed nearly seven-eighths of the people of that island, and as it was there that the long and fierce conflict was waged which ultimately compelled English Protestants to yield to their Catholic fellow-subjects the rights of toleration which they themselves enjoyed, this was regarded as emphatically an Irish reform.

Ireland! What a throng of associated ideas start to life at the mention of that name! How varied their aspect—how contradictory their character—how antagonistic the emotions they kindle, the sentiments they inspire. Ireland, the land of genius and degradation, of vast resources and pinching poverty, of noble deeds and revolting crimes, of valiant resistance to tyranny and obsequious submission to usurpation. Ireland, the land of splendid orators, charming poets, brave soldiers, and great statesmen; the land of ignorance, superstition, abjectness, and beggary; monsterless in its capacities, stinted in its products, a strange anomaly, a complication of contradictions.

Though this portraiture, sketched by no unfriendly hand, be but a rude outline, does it not shadow forth the original? Why are its darker colors no less faithful delineations of the prominent features than the brighter? The very problem which a whole century hee not been able to solve! The British Tory will point to what he calls "the malign character of the Irish," as the prime cause of the debasement and wretchedness which exist among them. The British Whig, whose zeal for Protestantism, as a mere cue, has clouded his judgment, will assign the general prevalance of the Catholic religion in the island, as the source of most of the evils which afflict it. The genuine Irishman, who regards his native isle as the greenest and fairest the sun ever smiled on to shine upon, will tell you that, giving due weight to many obvious but secondary influences, the degradation and misery which debase and crush each masses of his countrymen must be ascribed to the fact that Ireland, which could once boast of national independence, a regal sovereign, and a royal Parliament, is now a mere appendage to the English Crown, without a name, a flag, or a Senate; an oppressed colony crouching under a hated yoke of vassalage; a captive province paying tribute to a conqueror, who, having robbed it of nationality, appoints its rulers, dictates its laws, prescribes its ritual, plunders its wealth, tarnishes its reputation, and scoffs at its complainings.

Waiving till another occasion the question whether the prime cause of Ireland's miseries does not lie deeper than her compulsory and unnatural union with Great Britain, let us enter a little further into the feelings of the struggling Irishman. Go with him to Dublin. A beautiful city—one of the fairest in the United Kingdom. But, lie beauty is that of the fading flower nipped by the untimely frost—the beauty of the chiseled marble, rather than of the living, sating, speaking man. Commanding, also, indeed, but lacks the bloom, the freshness, the vivacity of conscious health. He manufactures, its domestic trade, its foreign commerce, since the union with England, have dwindled under the shadow of its towering rival beyond the channel, until its market days are as sombre as a London Sabbath. Its dull streets and slumbering wharves, yes, the very grit and air of its palaces, give token that its prosperity is arrested by the hand of decay, whilst its magnificent public edifices seem to stand only as cold and melancholy monuments of its departed greatness and glory. From the proud capital of an independent nation, Dublin has degenerated to the chief mart of a dependent province, whose seawers are "absentee proprietors," whose husbandmen pay their rents to foreign landlords, whose merchants are the mere agents of distant capitalists, and whose nobles are proud to hide their Irish stars under English ribbons.

Everything in Dublin reminds the Irishman of the captivity of his country. He feels a blighting shame when he conducts a stranger through the stately halls of the Bank of Ireland; for there the Lords and Commons of the Emerald Isle once legislated. He is pained when you extol the grandeur of this noble building; for, to his eye, its glory has faded and fled. Walk with him through that broad and beautiful avenue, Sackville street, and your praise of its elegant mansions only reminds him that the Irish nobility that once resided there have gone to swell the brilliant retinue of the conqueror at Hyde Park and St. James's Palace. Wander with him amidst the filth and squalor of the lanes of the city, and he points to wretchedness and want as the fruits of English legislation. Go with him to the Castle, and, as the soldiery file through its tarreted gate, clad in the uniform of the Saxon, he regards them not as the troops of a legitimate ruler, but as the trained assassins of an alien despot.

With such memenotes of the departed power and present captivity of Ireland, meeting his eye at every turn, was it not natural that the genuine Irishman, who submitted to the rule of England for the same reason that the slave wears the chain of his master, should, with the love blood which his Creator gave him boiling in his veins, twenty years ago present to his oppressor the alternative of civil war or unqualified toleration in the exercise of his hereditary religious faith—that eight years ago he should rush to Conciliation Hall, and agitate for his civil rights under the motto, "No People, strong enough to be a Nation, should consent to be a Province"—and that his present proud, when the last hope of civil emancipation by peaceful means had died out, and all Europe was in arms, casting away the chains of ages, he should light the fires of revolution on the heights of Ballingarry, resolved to strike one despairing blow for the deliverance of a long-oppressed country? Its who would break Washington's sword, may sink the iron into the forehead of Mitchell, O'Brien, and Meagher.

But, we anticipate. All these matters belong to the discussion of a subject yet to be considered—*the Independence of Ireland*. I have been betrayed into them, prematurely and immaturely, by the temper of the times. Our present business is with the Catholic Penal Code.

The antiquity and power of the Roman Hierarchy, and the sway it now holds over 150,000,000 of people, diffused through all all quarters of the globe, is one of the most extraordinary facts in the history of the Christian era. Whether the combined efforts of Protestantism to overthrow it, during the next three centuries, will be more successful than during the three since the Reformation, time only can show. In his review of Ranke's History of the Popes, speaking of the Catholic Church, Macauley says: "She saw the commencement of all the Governments, and of all the ecclesiastical establishments, that now exist in the world; and we feel no assurance that she is not destined to see the end of them all. She was great and respected before the Saxon had set foot on Britain—before the Frank had passed the Rhine—when Grecian eloquence still flourished at Antioch—when idols were still worshipped in the temple of Mecca. And she may still exist in undiminished vigor when some traveller from New England shall, in the midst of a vast solitude, take his stand on a broken arch of London Bridge, to sketch the ruins of St. Paul's."

Amongst the adherents to the Papal faith, none have shown a steadier attachment to it, through all vicissitudes, than the Catholics of Ireland. For centuries it has been the dominant, and at times almost exclusive, religion of that country. Persecutions the most subtle and bloody have not been able to quell the zeal and tenacity with which the Irish have practiced and clung to their hereditary creed. The battle of the Boyne, in 1690, was followed by the Treaty of Limerick, by which William of Orange guarantied in the most solemn terms religious toleration to his Irish Catholic subjects. The treaty was to be binding upon him, his heirs, and successors. But fear of the return of the banished Catholic princes of the house of Stuart, mingled with a propagandist zeal to convert Ireland to the doctrines of the Reformation, induced England to disregard the stipulations of the Treaty of Limerick. Partly by the direct legislation of the British Parliament, and partly through the medium of the Pale, a quasi Parliament of Ireland, the Catholic Penal Code was introduced into that country. Like other branches of British law, it was a piece of patchwork, the contribution of many reigns. It received its worst features within twenty years after the Treaty of Limerick. I will give a summary of its main provisions.

First, *as to persons professing the Catholic religion*. No Papist could take the real estate of his ancestor, either by descent or purchase; nor purchase any real estate, nor take a lease for more than thirty-one years; and if the profits of such lease exceeded a certain rate, the land went to any Protestant informer. The conveyance of real estate in trust for a Papist was void, and the property escheated to the king in fee of entail, but the estate descended to the next Protestant heir, as if the Papist were dead. A Paplst who turned Protestant succeeded to the family estate; and an increase of jointure was allowed to Papist wives on their turning Protestante; whilst, on the other hand, a Protestant who turned Papist, or procured another to turn, was guilty of high treason. Papist fathers were debarred, on a penalty of £500, from being guardians of their children; and a Papist minor, who avowed himself a Protestant, was immediately delivered to a Protestant guardian. No Papist could marry a Protestant, and the priest celebrating the marriage was to be hanged. Papists could not be barristers; and being Protestants, if they married Papists they were to be treated as Papists. It was a felony for a Papist to teach a school; to say or hear mass subjected him to fine and a year's imprisonment; to aid in sending another abroad, to be educated in the Popish religion, subjected the parties to a fine, and disabled them to sue in law or equity, to be executors and administrators, to take any legacy or gift, to hold any office, and to a forfeiture of all their chattels, and all real estate for life. No Papist could hold office, civil or military, sit in Parliament, or vote at elections. Protestants, robbed by privateers in a war with a Popish prince, were to be indemnified by levies on the property of Catholics alone.

Second, *as to Popish sacraments*, i. e., persons not attending the Established Church. Such bigots could hold no office, nor keep arms, nor come within ten miles of London, on pain of £100, nor travel above five miles from home without license, on pain of forfeiting all goods, nor come to Court on pain of £100, nor bring any action at law or equity; and to marry, baptize, or bury, such an one, subjected the offending priest to heavy penalties. A Protestant married woman forfeited two-thirde of her dower or jointure, nor could she be the executrix of her deceased husband, nor have any part of his goods; and during coverture she might be imprisoned, unless her husband redeemed her at the rate of £10 per month. All other recusant females must renounce Popery or quit the realm; and if they did not leave in a reasonable time, or afterwards returned, they could be put to death.

Third, *as to Popish priests*. Severe penalties were inflicted on them for discharging their ecclesiastical functions anywhere, and if done in England they were liable to perpetual imprisonment. Any such priest who was born in England, and, having left, should come in from abroad, was guilty of treason, and all who harbored him might be punished with death. Rewards were given for discovering Popish clergy, and any person refusing to disclose what he knew of their saying mass, or teaching pupils, might be imprisoned a year. A Popish priest who turned Protestant was entitled to £30 per annum. Besides this, they were subject to all the penalties and disabilities of lay Papists.

Fourth. Papists were excluded from grand juries; in all trials growing out of the Penal Code, the juries were to be Protestants; and in any trial on statutes for strengthening the Protestant interest, a Papist might be peremptorily challenged.

In surveying the lineaments of such a Code, the blood of a statue might glow with indignation, or chill with horror. It was inflicted on Catholic Ireland by Protestant England, in the name of that Church which claims to be the pillar and ground of the Christian faith. Well might the mild William Penn be aroused to denounce it as inhuman, when pleading before the House of Commons for toleration to the Quakers. Well might his master, should, with the love blood which his Creator gave him boiling in his veins, twenty years ago present to his oppressor the alternative of civil war or unqualified toleration in the exercise of his hereditary religious faith—that eight years ago he should rush to Conciliation Hall, and agitate for his civil rights under the motto, "No People, strong enough to be a Nation, should consent to be a Province"—and that his present proud, when the last hope of civil emancipation by peaceful means had died out, and all Europe was in arms, casting away the chains of ages, he should light the fires of revolution on the heights of Ballingarry, resolved to strike one despairing blow for the deliverance of a long-oppressed country? Its who would break Washington's sword, may sink the iron into the forehead of Mitchell, O'Brien, and Meagher.

But, we anticipate. All these matters belong to the discussion of a subject yet to be considered—*the Independence of Ireland*. I have been betrayed into them, prematurely and immaturely, by the temper of the times. Our present business is with the Catholic Penal Code.

The antiquity and power of the Roman Hierarchy, and the sway it now holds over 150,000,000 of people, diffused through all all quarters of the globe, is one of the most extraordinary facts in the history of the Christian era. Whether the combined efforts of Protestantism to overthrow it, during the next three centuries, will be more successful than during the three since the Reformation, time only can show. In his review of Ranke's History of the Popes, speaking of the Catholic Church, Macauley says: "She saw the commencement of all the Governments, and of all the ecclesiastical establishments, that now exist in the world; and we feel no assurance that she is not destined to see the end of them all. She was great and respected before the Saxon had set foot on Britain—before the Frank had passed the Rhine—when Grecian eloquence still flourished at Antioch—when idols were still worshipped in the temple of Mecca. And she may still exist in undiminished vigor when some traveller from New England shall, in the midst of a vast solitude, take his stand on a broken arch of London Bridge, to sketch the ruins of St. Paul's."

Amongst the adherents to the Papal faith, none have shown a steadier attachment to it, through all vicissitudes, than the Catholics of Ireland. For centuries it has been the dominant, and at times almost exclusive, religion of that country. Persecutions the most subtle and bloody have not been able to quell the zeal and tenacity with which the Irish have practiced and clung to their hereditary creed. The battle of the Boyne, in 1690, was followed by the Treaty of Limerick, by which William of Orange guarantied in the most solemn terms religious toleration to his Irish Catholic subjects. The treaty was to be binding upon him, his heirs, and successors. But fear of the return of the banished Catholic princes of the house of Stuart, mingled with a propagandist zeal to convert Ireland to the doctrines of the Reformation, induced England to disregard the stipulations of the Treaty of Limerick. Partly by the direct legislation of the British Parliament, and partly through the medium of the Pale, a quasi Parliament of Ireland, the Catholic Penal Code was introduced into that country. Like other branches of British law, it was a piece of patchwork, the contribution of many reigns. It received its worst features within twenty years after the Treaty of Limerick. I will give a summary of its main provisions.

First, *as to persons professing the Catholic religion*. No Papist could take the real estate of his ancestor, either by descent or purchase; nor purchase any real estate, nor take a lease for more than thirty-one years; and if the profits of such lease exceeded a certain rate, the land went to any Protestant informer. The conveyance of real estate in trust for a Papist was void, and the property escheated to the king in fee of entail, but the estate descended to the next Protestant heir, as if the Papist were dead. A Paplst who turned Protestant succeeded to the family estate; and an increase of jointure was allowed to Papist wives on their turning Protestante; whilst, on the other hand, a Protestant who turned Papist, or procured another to turn, was guilty of high treason. Papist fathers were debarred, on a penalty of £500, from being guardians of their children; and a Papist minor, who avowed himself a Protestant, was immediately delivered to a Protestant guardian. No Papist could marry a Protestant, and the priest celebrating the marriage was to be hanged. Papists could not be barristers; and being Protestants, if they married Papists they were to be treated as Papists. It was a felony for a Papist to teach a school; to say or hear mass subjected him to fine and a year's imprisonment; to aid in sending another abroad, to be educated in the Popish religion, subjected the parties to a fine, and disabled them to sue in law or equity, to be executors and administrators, to take any legacy or gift, to hold any office, and to a forfeiture of all their chattels, and all real estate for life. No Papist could hold office, civil or military, sit in Parliament, or vote at elections. Protestante, robbed by privateers in a war with a Popish prince, were to be indemnified by levies on the property of Catholics alone.

Second, *as to Popish recusants*, i. e., persons not attending the Established Church. Such bigots could hold no office, nor keep arms, nor come within ten miles of London, on pain of £100, nor travel above five miles from home without license, on pain of forfeiting all goods, nor come to Court on pain of £100, nor bring any action at law or equity; and to marry, baptize, or bury, such an one, subjected the offending priest to heavy penalties. A Protestant married woman forfeited two-thirde of her dower or jointure, nor could she be the executrix of her deceased husband, nor have any part of his goods; and during coverture she might be imprisoned, unless her husband redeemed her at the rate of £10 per month. All other recusant females must renounce Popery or quit the realm; and if they did not leave in a reasonable time, or afterwards returned, they could be put to death.

Third, *as to Popish priests*. Severe penalties were inflicted on them for discharging their ecclesiastical functions anywhere, and if done in England they were liable to perpetual imprisonment. Any such priest who was born in England, and, having left, should come in from abroad, was guilty of treason, and all who harbored him might be punished with death. Rewards were given for discovering Popish clergy, and any person refusing to disclose what he knew of their saying mass, or teaching pupils, might be imprisoned a year. A Popish priest who turned Protestant was entitled to £30 per annum. Besides this, they were subject to all the penalties and disabilities of lay Papists.

Fourth. Papists were excluded from grand juries; in all trials growing out of the Penal Code, the juries were to be Protestants; and in any trial on statutes for strengthening the Protestant interest, a Papist might be peremptorily challenged.

In surveying the lineaments of such a Code, the blood of a statue might glow with indignation, or chill with horror. It was inflicted on Catholic Ireland by Protestant England, in the name of that Church which claims to be the pillar and

Subscription to literary works, encouragement to authors, and publication of unedited works - - 557,000 767,200

19,095,673 18,258,883

Notwithstanding this great annual expenditure, the French people, at the present hour, are universally deficient in common school education. The law for requiring common schools has not been and is not now rigorously executed. The monarchy of Louis Philippe was recreant to the cause of education. It kept up a show in favor of it, but in reality did nothing to promote it.

In 1836 there were only—
56,000 elementary schools for boys,
11,000 elementary schools for girls,

47,000, containing in winter 2,170,000 pupils, and in summer 1,500,000;

In the same year there were only 73 normal schools for training teachers for the elementary schools; 573 boarding schools; 94 high schools; 224 colleges; 20 seminaries for 27,000 pupils; 41 royal colleges, with 15,000 pupils.

In the year 1829, out of every 100 young men enrolled in the military conscription, the proportion of them that could read and write, in the Department of Meuse, was 74; in that of Seine, 71; and in that of Corrèze, only 12! (Going to the field to learn the art of killing, is not very favorable to the acquirement of letters and morals.

The following table, from the official returns, will show, in a general view, the vast and shameful neglect of education of the masses, during the false government of Louis Philippe:

1. Unable to read and write -	16,855,000
2. Able to read, but not to write -	7,097,000
3. Able to read and write, but incorrectly -	6,968,000
4. Able to read and write correctly -	2,430,000
5. Professing the elements of classical education -	735,000
6. Having completed their classical education -	315,000
	34,400,000

The official returns, up to 1847, inclusive, show a gradual falling off in expenditure for public schools. Indeed, education is the only department which the French government and the People of France have not made a progress corresponding to their progress in other things. The general spirit of liberality, and attention to the vast importance of general education, and the Government has done nothing to awaken it to a sense of the value of the knowledge.

In England, in 1847, one out of every 40 persons could not write his name. In the state of Massachusetts, in the same year, one out of every 300 individuals could not write. Whilst, in what is in France, in 1847, one out of every two, or every other person, could not only not write his name, but could not read even a single letter of the alphabet!

PUBLIC WORSHIP IN FRANCE.

The predominent religion of France is the Roman Catholic, but in Old Alsace and Languedoc there is a large Protestant element.

The whole country is divided into 14 Archbishoprics and 66 Bishoprics. These divisions are the work of the Revolution.

The Cardinals receive £1,300 a year; the Archbishops, £800; and the Bishops, £600. The working clergy, of whom there is one at least in every Commune, receive from £20 to £60 a year.

The number of the Catholic clergy in France in 1848 was as follows:

Archbishops - - -	14
Bishops - - -	66
Vicars General - - -	174
Canons - - - -	660
Curés - - - -	3,401
Desservans - - -	26,776
Vicarios - - -	6,184
	37,275

The Protestants are of the Lutheran and Reformed Churches. The Lutherans are chiefly in Old Alsace, in Paris, and in the Department of Isère. Those of the Reformed Church amount to one million, and dwell chiefly in the south of Languedoc and in Dauphiné, the shades of the old Huguenots. Some Baptists are found in the Departments of Jura and Vosges, and are noted for the industry and purity of their lives. A few Jews are scattered over France, and number 60,000 in 1838.

The Catholic priests are chiefly educated in seminaries established expressly for clerical instruction. There is one central clerical school at Paris, and another at Strasbourg, for the rest of France. At Strasbourg is the chief place of instruction for the Lutherans, and at Montauban for the Calvinists, or Reformed people.

The cost to the State of the maintenance of public worship in 1838 and 1848 will be seen by reference to the following table:

	1838.	1848.
	Francs.	Francs.
Central administration -	478,500	540,000
Cardinals, Bishops, &c. -	1,017,000	1,197,000
Parochial clergy, &c. -	28,145,000	30,865,000
Chapel St. Denis - -	112,000	112,000
Seminaries - - -	1,000,000	1,000,000
Grant to ecclesiastics, &c.	1,070,000	880,000
Cathedral service - -	455,000	537,000
Buildings, &c., for worship	1,000,000	2,500,000
Grants, &c. - - -	862,000	761,500
Protestant sects - -	924,000	2,287,050
Jews, &c. - - -	90,000	170,000
	35,143,500	40,413,950

MESSAGE OF THE GOVERNOR OF VIRGINIA.

Governor Smith, of Virginia, in his message this year, refers briefly to the controversy concerning the attempt to abolish freedom in our new Territories, in a style that would not discredit the chivalry of South Carolina:

"But, gentlemen, I regret to see a determination manifested in the section of our Union to exclude our Southern population from our new acquisitions. I am mortified also to believe that this unjust policy has its origin in a spirit of fanaticism, which, I am sorry to say, is the conviction that we will submit to such exclusion. It is my anxious desire to see this question settled during the session of Congress, which commences this day; for, if not, I have great confidence in the patriotism of the Senate of the United States, and have strong hope, from the position and character of the President elect, that he will not permit this unjust and oppressive policy to be consummated by giving to it his official sanction. If, however, the policy of exclusion should prevail in the Congress of the United States, or any other kindred measure, then indeed the day of compromise will have past, and the dissolution of the Union will be inevitable. Then will resistance necessary and inevitable. May God in his mercy, however, pass this cup from our lips. In the mean time, I recommend the passage of firm and temperate resolutions similar in character and principle to those unanimously adopted by the General Assembly on the 8th day of March, 1847."

The Governor, after stating the disproportionate amount of colored population of the Union, in the legislature, is frank enough to ascribe the degradation of the free people of color to "the soul-crushing legislation" of the State. The plain dictate of humanity and common sense, then, is, to reform this legislation. The voice of the free blacks being the result rather of inhuman laws, than of their own nature, the obvious remedy is, to repeal the laws, and not their victims.

The Governor enters into no vindication of the black code—which he stigmatizes as "soul-crushing;" but merely says that it is "the result of long experience and intimate knowledge of the free negro race." The truth is, this legislation is not the result of experience, but of prejudice and mistaken self-interest, and is based upon an entire misapprehension of Human Nature. There has been no trial in Virginia of a just, and humane code in relation to these people. No system-atic attempt has been made to improve their character, and meliorate their condition. The fruits of oppressive laws in Virginia are evil, only evil, and that continually. This the Governor admits. Suppose the laws were instituted by *just* ones, what might be expected? No society was ever benefited by injustice—no society can ever fail to be benefited by Justice. Wiser and more humane laws, by securing the free colored people the means of self-improvement and the acquisition of intelligence, would make them less thriftless, more virtuous, less apt to be used as instruments of crime by vicious whites, and even less dangerous to the slave-population.

Were there no alternative but deportation, or their perpetual degradation under oppressive laws, we should agree with Governor Smith, that the former policy would be humane; and, we see not how those who are bent on perpetuating such laws can object to the former. Behold, then, there is a more excellent way; reform the "soul-crushing legislation"—give to the free colored people the facilities of self-improvement—treat them as *men*, and in due time they will cease to be felt or regarded as "nuisances."

"WIFE," said a married man, looking for his bootjack after she was in bed, "I have a place for all things, and you ought to know it." "Yes," said she, "I ought to know where you keep your late hours!"

GENERAL TAYLOR—ANOTHER CONVERSATION.

We copy the following from the *Baltimore Sun* of Friday:

WASHINGTON, *Nov.* 28, 1848.

Governor Brown, member of the House of Representatives from Mississippi, arrived here to-day, and since his arrival has received a letter from Natchez, relative to the present opinions of General Taylor on the slavery question, from which I am permitted to make the following extract:

"NATCHEZ, *Nov.* 15, 1848.

"DEAR SIR: As all parties concede that General Taylor is elected President, I hasten to inform you that he has thrown off all disguise, and considers no opinion

"S. S. Boyd, whom you know to be radical on the slavery question, and who often says that *Stephens's speech was abused*, called Bingham and myself one side yesterday, and told us that Taylor had that day spent some hours with Gen. Taylor, and that he was right on all the points: and, without naming any of the Territories, he old gentleman distinctly and earnestly declared that, when the *North* attempted to interfere with the slave question, he was for *drawing the sword and throwing away the scabbard!*

"About this, Boyd says there is no mistake, and he does not hesitate to pronounce 'Old Zack' perfectly sound. At all this you may rest assured. What change other influences may make in his mind, I cannot say, but his Southern friends, who are full on the subject, say he is not the man to give up an opinion deliberately formed.

"He will go with the free States on the Tariff and Internal Improvement questions, and with the slave States on the Free Soil question.

"Yours, truly, F. L. CLAIBORNE.

"*Hon. A. G. Brown.*"

General Quitman, the Mr. Boyd above mentioned, and other Southern gentlemen, I hear it stated, entertain the opinion that the South should take the *earnest ground* on the slavery question, and then compromise. They are for extending slavery to California and New Mexico, and compromising by yielding the right to *slave representation* in Congress.

Mr. Claiborne, who writes the above, is Franklin Lee Claiborne, brother of the former distinguished member of that name, and a good Democrat of the Southern school. Mr. Bingham, named in the letter, has been a candidate for Congress on several occasions, and was among the earliest supporter of General Taylor for the Presidency. So also in regard to Mr. Boyd. He is a distinguished Democrat, resides at Natchez in Mississippi, and one of the most eminent lawyers of that State.

We attach little credit to this report. *General* Taylor, in our opinion, is honest, and, if elected President, will be pledged by his position to veto the Wilmot Proviso, should it pass Congress; but we very much doubt whether any authorized declaration of his, in relation to his intention, has found its way into the newspapers. The foregoing report seems to us apocryphal, although we doubt not he will stand with his Southern friends on the slavery question.

DOCUMENTS ACCOMPANYING THE PRESIDENT'S MESSAGE.

It is unnecessary to fill our paper with the documents accompanying the President's Message. They embrace minute details of the least possible interest to the great majority of readers. Brief abstracts will suffice.

REPORT OF THE SECRETARY OF WAR.

This report occupies nearly six columns of the Union. The first of the documents directed to an official account of the transactions of the army in Mexico, resuming the narrative at the point where it closed in the report of last year, and terminating it with the withdrawal of the troops from Mexico.

The peace establishment is now about what it was at the breaking out of the war with Mexico. If complete, it would amount to 9,878 officers and soldiers, exclusive of the enlisted men of the ordnance, but its actual numerical strength will generally fall considerably below this.

Some changes have been made in the military divisions, so as to adapt them to increased territory. The Eastern is the same as it was; Texas and New Mexico have been added to the Western; California and Oregon constitute the third, or the division of the Pacific.

With a view to an earlier relief to the people of Oregon than could be afforded by the troops to be sent from the Atlantic coast, orders have been sent to the commander of the Pacific squadron to dispatch a part of his force to Oregon, with arms and ammunition, &c.

To save time, orders were issued to the General-in-chief, at headquarters in Mexico, in anticipation of peace, to send a regiment thence to California, but the Mexican Government refused to permit its passage to the Pacific coast.

"Orders were also given to Gen. Wool, then in command of the forces at Saltillo, Monterey, and on the Rio Grande frontier, to send a part of the regular troops with him directly to California and New Mexico. Pursuant to this direction, two companies of the 1st and two of the 3d dragoons proceeded up the right bank of the Rio Grande to Chihuahua, and thence to the Gila, and down that river to California. By this time, it is probable they have reached their ultimate destination.

"Two companies of the second regiment of artillery were also sent from the Rio Grande, and proceeded on the Mexican side of it up to Santa Fe. These have already reached their destination.

"The remainder of the 1st regiment of artillery have embarked at New York, to go around Cape Horn to Oregon, and the 2d regiment of infantry have left New York, to proceed by the same route to California. As early in the spring as a passage can be made across the country, other troops will be sent to Oregon, in numbers sufficient to hold and protect that Territory.

"Troops to guard the Ilio Grande frontier, and keep in check the Indians in that quarter, have been ordered to Texas from the line of the Gila, or are on their way to, their respective stations.

"The remainder of the army is distributed on the Indian frontier, the frontiers, and on the Atlantic and Gulf coasts."

The amount, thus far reported, of contributions and avails of captured property received by officers of the army in Mexico, is $3,844,373.77 — a sum that will be increased by amounts collected in New Mexico and California. Of this, $67,497.33 has been paid into the United States Treasury, $346,309.30 paid into the United States Treasury, $3,267,540.84 turned over to disbursing officers; $19,713.28 credited by the Mexican Government to the United States, on the first instalment due under the treaty; the remainder, $143,260.19, charged against the collecting officers. Of the amount turned over to the disbursing officers, $720,650 were applied towards the payment of the first instalment due under the treaty.

It is recommended that the additional paymasters authorized during the war, be continued as permanent. An additional number of surgeons and assistant surgeons is also recommended.

A board, composed of officers of the army and navy, has been constituted, with a view of examining the Pacific coast, so as to determine suitable points of defence and fortification.

"The appropriations for the army proper required for the next fiscal year amount to $4,432,286. The estimates for the transportation of the troops for exceed that of any previous year during the war. The increase in this item is to meet the expense of sending troops to Oregon, New Mexico, and California.

The business of the Pension Office has been unusually increased.

"The number of invalid pensioners has increased, during the last year, six hundred and ninety-one; the whole number on the list is three thousand one hundred and twenty-six.

"More than sixty thousand claims have been presented under the act of the 11th of February, 1847, for bounty land and Treasury scrip. About forty thousand of them have been acted on and allowed, twenty thousand are now pending, and it is estimated that at least one hundred thousand yet to be presented. Great efforts have been made to despatch these applications, and about two hundred and fifty are daily investigated and passed. For the details of the business in the Pension Office, I respectfully refer you to the report of the Commissioner."

The report closes with an interesting notice of Indian affairs:

"The law of 1847, giving additional authority to prevent the introduction and sale of spirituous liquors in the Indian country, and the stringent regulations adopted by the Department to restrain this pernicious traffic, have sensibly diminished the amount of suffering among the frontier tribes, arising from the use of ardent spirits. Still, but an effectual check to it cannot be applied, unless the States lying adjacent to the Indian country will cooperate in the most energetic measures for their citizens from bringing intoxicating liquors within the reach of the Indians. The policy of paying annuities semi-annually, and of distributing them *per capita*, has been attended by the happiest results. The new regulations in regard to licensee to trade with the Indians, and the rigid supervision over the conduct of those to whom this privilege has been granted, have put an end to many evils and abuses which formerly prevailed, and were highly detrimental to the interests and welfare of the Indians.

"No subject connected with our Indian affairs has so deeply interested the Department, and received so much of its anxious attention, as that of education, and I am happy to be able to say that its efforts to advance this cause have been crowned with success. Among most of the tribes which have removed to and become settled in the Indian country, the blessings of schools are being extended to the rising youth, and they generally manifest a willingness to cooperate with the Government in diffusing these blessings. The schools already established have an increased number of pupils, and preparations are making for establishing many new ones. Much credit is due to many excellent missionary societies, of different religious denominations, for their zeal and contributions to sustain and advance the cause of education among the Indian population.

"There are now in operation manual labor schools and eighty-seven boarding and district schools now in successful operation among the various Indian tribes, and the number of Indian youth attending them, according to the reports received at the Department, is three thousand six hundred and eighty-two—of which two thousand six hundred and fifty-one attend the boarding schools, one thousand and thirty-two are females. The schools are generally in charge of missionary societies, and are well conducted. These are found the most gratifying evidence that nearly all of our colonized tribes are rapidly advancing in civilization and moral improvement; and I trust it may not be intemperate or out of place for me to express my hope that the highly improved and gratifying condition of the Indians may be a subject which will claim the watchful guardianship of the Government is extended, not only in regard to education, but most other respects, no similar measure of credit is to be ascribed to the ability, zeal, and fidelity of those, of that branch of this Department to which the management of our diversified and difficult Indian affairs is entrusted.

"Within the newly acquired Territories there is a numerous Indian population, over which our supervision and protection should be immediately extended; but this cannot be effectually done without the action of Congress on the subject. Additional agencies are required, to manage Indian affairs in the Territories, and to be attached to them our Indian system of control and management which in other parts of our country has already been productive of happy results, and is so full of promise for the future."

REPORT OF THE SECRETARY OF THE NAVY.

This report, occupying nearly six columns of the Union, embraces a great amount of detail of very little interest to the people generally.

The columns of the report are devoted to a statement of the present disposition of our navy, and a narrative of the final operations of our squadrons on the Mexican coast.

A flattering tribute is paid to the skill and bravery which distinguished the conduct of the officers and seamen of the navy under General Scott, in its descent on Vera Cruz.

The report recognises the wisdom of the policy of this country, which demands, in time of peace, a comparatively small naval establishment.

"The establishment of docks and yards for building and repairing ships, the collection and preparation of materials, the construction of all sorts of war ready for launching, and the purchase of cannon and munitions of war in quantities sufficient for every emergency, are of vital importance. But in the vast mercantile and mechanical business of our country, these supplies, to a certain degree, are created; and in the event of the last exigency, may be procured when the occasion for expansion shall arise. So, too, the hardy maritime spirit of our merchant service would readily furnish efficient crews for the construction of ships fitted for war, which a maritime war may render necessary. The difficulty of such a union, in a republic, will be in providing guns, ordnance, and ships of war to be put in requisition for use, but as to officers in sufficient number and with adequate experience to command and direct."

The report of course insists on the policy of retaining on the navy list a larger number of officers than may be required in time of peace for the regular service in the navy, so that such supernumeraries, engaged in no active duties, are to acquire so much more skill, hardihood, tact, and science in navigation, than if constantly employed in the arduous duties of the mercantile marine, he does not exploit.)

In regard to the principle of promotion, he recommends a modification of the present system, which advances promotions by seniority or date of commission:

"The safest and best mode, it appears to me, is to require by law that officers rendered unfit for duty, otherwise than by wounds received in battle, or qualified for promotion to higher grades, should be placed, on reduced pay, out of the line of promotion. Justice and policy would require that such a course should be adopted in the exercise of such a power capriciously, or without a due regard to the rights of all."

The naval school at Annapolis is strongly recommended, as affording the best means for providing educated officers for the navy.

The number of vessels on the Pacific route, required by contract, have been completed:

"The connected lines, in all their parts, will, it is expected, be in successful operation early in the ensuing spring, and a regular communication established from the city of New York to Chagres. The service organized in the passage will not exceed thirty-five days, and, at no distant day, it is confidently believed that the whole communication will be established by a connecting line of steamers between San Francisco and China, making the passage in twenty days. This may readily be effected by means of the steamers now being constructed, as parts of the squadron in the East Indies and the Pacific, until individual enterprise shall have introduced steamers better suited for freight and passengers.

"The transit of intelligence, merchandise, and passengers, from China to Europe, by way of New York, can be effected when these several lines shall be in operation in connection with the line from that city to Liverpool, in less than one-half the time now occupied in the voyage between those countries.

"The communication by steamers regularly plying on the two routes, now about to commence, cannot fail to increase our general commerce, and to bring to our Atlantic cities a large import of the precious metals; and this, with the facility afforded to our enterprising countrymen to visit or to emigrate to the rich territories on the Pacific, more than compensates the expense of the great undertaking."

The Secretary recommends that authority be given to contract for the transportation of the mail between Vera Cruz and New Orleans, in steamships, convertible into war steamers. Such intercourse will foster good feeling between the two countries, and facilitate trade.

The contract for the line between New York and Liverpool has his vessels in a state of forwardness. As perfection of workmanship is an object of vast importance, it has been advisable not to hurry him:

"I have the honor to submit the reports from the several bureaus of the Department, with the estimates for the naval service, and for other ob-

jects placed under the control of this Department, for the fiscal year ending with the 30th of June, 1849, to wit:

"The gross amount of estimate is $8,858,856 58; of which the following, being for special objects, must be deducted, to ascertain the probable annual expense of the navy:

For transportation of the mails - $874,600
For floating dry docks, basins, and railways - 650,000
Completing stone dock at New York - 400,000
Improvements, &c, at navy yards - 1,446,510

2,960,910

Which sums being deducted, will leave for one year's expense of the naval service, including invalid and widows' pensions and the marine corps, $5,997,946 58.

"I have the honor herewith to transmit a statement from the Fourth Auditor's Office, showing the exact amount of appropriations and expenditures made for the entire public service under the control of the Navy Department in each year from the 30th of June, 1844, to the first day of July, 1848; by which it will appear that the aggregate amount of expenditures for the two fiscal years ending on the 30th of June, 1845 and 1846, amounted to $14,067,036 09, and the aggregate amount expended in the two years ending on the 30th June, 1848, was $21,599,661 18.

"The first two were in time of peace; the last two embrace a trifling period during the whole period of the war with Mexico. In the last two, it is worthy of remark, that for the construction of war steamers authorized by Congress, but not intended or expected to be employed in the war, and for other special objects, very considerable expenditures were made under appropriations for the purpose, but the outlays on account of the naval service have been but slightly increased by the war with Mexico.

"On the 1st day of July last, it appears that there remained in the Treasury an unexpended balance of the year's appropriations for the naval service of $10,359,572. Of this sum, one million seven hundred and ninety-five thousand six hundred and thirty dollars fifty-seven cents were carried to the surplus fund; and, as authorized by law, the balance remains subject to expenditure, if required during the current fiscal year.

"Besides the ships on the stocks, in ordinary, undergoing repairs, and in commission, and excluding navy yards and other public lands required for purposes of the navy, with their costly improvements, the navy property at home is estimated at more than the public property on hand for naval purposes amounts in the aggregate to $9,460,370."

REPORT OF THE POSTMASTER GENERAL.

Post Office Department, Dec. 2, 1848.

Sir: The mail routes in operation in the United States, on the 30th day of June last, were 163,926 miles in extent, and the annual transportation of the same, upon them was 61,091,879 miles, costing $2,448,766, as follows, to wit:

On railroads, 4,327,680 miles, costing -	$284,109
In steamboats, 4,285,980 miles, costing -	307,010
Accessible generally by railroad, 1,000 miles, in coaches, 16,005,188 miles, costing -	50,062
In coaches and sulkies, 32,042,747,191 miles, costing -	796,992
In sulkies, 751,200 miles, costing -	15,600
And in addition thereto, for foreign mail service -	195,000

The table of mail service, for the year ending the 30th of June, 1848, made up from the state of the service at it stood at the close of the year exhibits, as compared with the table of 1847, an increase of 6,926 miles of mail routes in the United States of 9,700 miles, and an increase on the amount of annual transportation of 2,104,680 miles; but a decrease in the cost of transportation of $12,148.

There is, however, an increase in the cost of agency, of - 7,101

Leaving a balance of saving in the cost of our inland mails for 1848, as compared with 1847, of - 4,324

Mail facilities have been established in that year for the first time in the tables of this year, to the amount of 1,600 miles, costing $20,000, to service above named - 4,324

Leave an excess of cost for 1848 over 1847 of - 30,300

For this we have 2,121,980 miles more of annual transportation, also of extra facilities, and the service of our foreign mails every other month, a distance of 3,400 miles and back.

The increase of mail service in the several sections for the middle section, embracing New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, and Ohio, went into operation on the 1st July, 1848, and exhibits a saving for the remainder of the year of 1848 of 1,000 miles, upon the cost of the same section for the past year, at $1,000. This is a saving of nearly 10 per cent. Notwithstanding this reduction of cost, the service has been increased in that section of the country. There have been extensions in distance 293 miles further, and in this way, and by establishing a number of trips, the aggregate service has become greater than last year by 695,856 miles of annual transportation.

	Miles.
On 1st July, 1845, the annual transportation of the mails in the United States amounted to -	35,031,200
On the 30th June, 1846, to -	37,295,111
Increase in service over the preceding year -	1,763,911
On 30th June, 1847, it amounted to -	38,307,999
Increase over preceding year -	1,012,888
On 30th June, 1848, it amounted to -	41,212,679
Increase over preceding year -	2,904,680
Total increase for last 3 years -	6,176,791

But the cost of the service was reduced as follows:

On 1st July, 1845, it stood at -	$2,460,500
On 30th June, 1846 -	2,716,627
Reduction from the preceding year -	188,831
On 30th June, 1847, it stood at $2,453,000. -	
Reduction from the preceding year -	263,627
On 30th June, 1848, it stood at $2,448,852. -	
Reduction from preceding year -	4,148
Total reduction of cost in the 3 years -	456,276

This is exclusive of the foreign mail service performed in the last year.

The expenditures on one more year show that the mail service has been extended on bridges over the streams, and, at certain seasons of the year failures are unavoidable; even the most improved and best conducted routes. Unfavorable weather, the rise or fall in the rivers, the failure of ice to drive, or slight accidents to the machinery, must delay the mails. The Department has always endeavored to achieve the result in regard to time lost. This has been, and always must be, the case.

There is, at this time, more service performed, with more speed, and with more punctuality, at sharply lessened prices, now it is believed that more expedition can be given on the principal mail routes, until further improvements are made on the roads, without endangering the connections which are to be made to insure the prompt and punctual delivery of the mails.

The foreign service, during the past year, has been increased by the establishing of a monthly line to Bremen, being also on the line between New York and Bremen, and monthly trips are now performed between the two cities of New York and Liverpool.

On the 17th of October last, the lashed was placed on the line between Charleston and Havana, in compliance with the act of March 3, 1847, and the steamer M. Roberts, and will hereafter perform semi-monthly trips.

The ocean steamers between Panama and Oregon, are performed under a contract with the Secretary of the Navy for service on the Pacific, have been sent from New York for Panama, and the mail service in that region is expected to commence service for this Department on the 1st of January next, from Panama to Oregon.

The Secretary of the Navy has likewise employed the Falcon on the line between Havana and Brazos Santiago, to transport to sail from Havana to Charges in time for the mails to reach Havana in season for forwarding by the United States Territories on the Pacific coast. This Department has made a contract for the transportation of the mails across the Isthmus, from Chagres to Panama; so that we have, at this time, a regular monthly mail from Charleston, by Havana, Chagres, and Panama, to Oregon.

The number of post offices on 1st July last was 16,150, being an increase during the year ending on that day, of 1,000 offices established was 1,200. The number discontinued 200.

The number of postmasters appointed during the year was 4,121. Of this number, 2,160 were appointed in consequence of resignations, 1,961 in consequence of deaths, 1,961 in consequence of changing sites of offices, 139 in consequence of removals, 15 in consequence of new offices created, 14 in consequence of offices to which they were not renewed, 14 in consequence of commission expired, 4,000 in consequence of becoming Presidential appointments, 1,200 in consequence of the new law. The number of appointments made between the 4th of March, 1845, and 1st of July, 1848, was 14,267; of which the number of removals were 1,681; the balance were made in consequence of resignations, deaths, expirations of commission, and the establishment of new ones. The act of the 17th May, 1836, directed the payment of postmasters' salaries, after the 1st July, 1837, to be regulated, and that they be allowed their commissions if they did not exceed $500. The law has been executed, and the sum of $112,213 44, has been paid to 7,500 postmasters.

The gross revenue of the year ending on 30th June last, including $200,040 appropriated by the 12th section of the act of 3d March, 1847, for the service of the Government, and the further sum of $46,739 collected from and belonging to the succeeding year, amounted to the sum of $3,827,267. This sum exceeds that of the preceding year, $125,084, being an increase of 3.39 per cent. The gross annual average of the nine years preceding the 1st July, 1845, $2,453.

The letter postage, including the $200,040 appropriated as above stated, amounted to $3,000,000, exceeding that of the preceding year, $295,791, being an increase at the rate of 10.96 per cent.

The newspaper postage for the year amounted to $707,311, making an increase of $124,174, which is equal to 19 30-100 per cent.

The following table exhibits the revenues, including the $200,040 appropriated for the service of the Government, as well as the expenditures for the last 3 years, to 30th June, 1848:

For year ending 30th June, 1846 -	*Revenue.* $3,702,183	*Expenditure.* $3,971,219
Do. do. 1847 -	3,915,982	3,971,310
Do. do. 1848 -	4,271,007	4,230,540

The expenditure for the year have been increased by the following items, which do not properly belong to it, viz: The sum allowed 1st July, 1848, for fitting up the new post office, by the act of 14th August, 1848, $46,787.

The amount paid the Treasury for loss of steamers, since 1st July last, and charged in the accounts of last year, $43,233. The amount paid the Treasurer for balance due on account of 1st January, 1847, by the act of the 17th May, 1848, was $12,320 15, a portion of which should be deducted from the expenses of the present year, $11,000—$12,320 15, which makes the expenses properly chargeable to the past year, $3,198 815.

This exhibit leaves a balance of $40,667, applicable to excesses during the year, while the income arising therefrom is reported to be $95,040 13, however, it is believed that before the correction for this report, that the postages on mails, to and from England and France, are in part or wholly omitted. This exceeds the expenditure of the previous year, $227,755, and falls short of the income of the year $127,252.

The expenditure for nine years preceding the 1st July, 1845, $2,300,745.

The gross revenue arising from postages and fines, excluding the amount arising from appropriations, sources, as well as the $200,000 appropriated for the service of the Executive Department, during the year ending 30th June, 1848, amounted to - $3,417,261

From the same source the year preceding - 3,289,479

Increase - 127,782

Increase 7.43 per cent. increase.

If the revenue from the same sources shall increase during the present year at the rate of six per cent., the proceeds will be - 3,504,916

To this may be added the amount due from the Treasury, for mail services from 4th March, 1847, to 30th June, 1848, appropriated by the 12th section of act of 1847 - 462,550

Means applicable to the present year - 4,830,381

Leaving a surplus over the estimated expenditures for the current year - 422,291

If the revenue for the year ending 30th June, 1850, shall increase at the rate of 5 per cent. upon the estimated revenue of 1849, the amount will be - 4,525,626

Add surplus of 1849 - 422,291

Annual appropriation for postages of Government - 200,040

Estimated means for 1850 - 5,147,957

Estimated expenditures chargeable on the year 1850 - 4,199,943

The table is formed by the following items:

Steamers from Charleston to Havana, three quarters -	37,500
California and Oregon agent -	2,500
California and Oregon service -	37,500
Additional allowance to route and agents -	5,000
Ship Hermione, belonging to the Bremen line -	2,500
Miscellaneous -	23,000
Expenditures for the year 1849 -	1,899,943
Estimated means of 1849 -	4,830,381
Surplus -	422,336
The estimated expenditures of 1849 -	4,199,943

This sum will be increased for the year 1850 by the following items:

Service on new post routes and railroads -	100,000
Two additional steamers on the Havana line -	200,000
Charleston and Havana service, one quarter, not included in 1849 -	37,500
Additional appropriation for Florida -	5,000
California and Oregon agency, for 6 months, not included in 1849 -	2,500
Miscellaneous -	23,000
Estimated expenditures of 1850 -	4,799,943
Estimated means of 1850 -	5,214,493
Surplus for 1850 -	414,550

It will be seen that, notwithstanding the very large sum estimated for foreign service, as well as the additional service required on the Pacific coast, and the service of the Isthmus, annexed by the appropriation of that act, will be added to the expenditures of the year 1850, that at the close of the present year,

"This statement of the operations of the act of 1845, upon the service and finances of the Department, confirms the opinion expressed in my last annual report, that the act of 1845 was one of public policy, and will not only produce revenue enough to meet the expenditure, but leave a considerable surplus annually for the improvement of the mail service, or would justify a still further reduction of the rates of postage."

Notwithstanding the great increase of the service during the last year, there was a balance in the Treasury, at the close of the year, a surplus of $172,232 beyond the estimate of the receipts and expenditures.

Accompanying this report, marked B, will be found that of the Auditor for the Post Office Department, to which I refer.

The energy and zeal of the officers engaged in the collection and disbursement of the funds of the Department, as well as the punctuality and promptitude of postmasters in making their returns, deserve the highest commendation.

The following is a statement of the amount of the mail service, as it stood at the close of the years 1847 and 1848:

	Miles.	Cost.
Of the amount due 30th June, 1846, the sum of	86,160	$3,000
Do. do. 1847, do.	9,744	7,101
Do. do. 1848, do.	37,356	30,300

More than half the sum reported for the last year will be required to meet the claims of the first quarter.

The balance yet due is less than one-third of one per cent. on the whole amount.

The last years' accounts have been generally collected within a reasonable time in the course of the year. Suits have been ordered in ninety-one cases, involving only the sum of $3,271, whilst, during the same period, one hundred and eight cases, formerly reported, were ordered to suit, and the amount amounting to $10,055. This large sum, collected within the time named, is a strong evidence of the ability of the parties in payment of the public creditors, in due and proper time if it believed, without suit.

Prior to 1st of July, 1845, there were debts due the Department to the amount of $1,700,000, which had been long due. Of this sum, there has been collected within the past year, $1,000,000, leaving yet a balance due the Department of $693,000, leaving yet a balance due the Department of $693,000, leaving yet a balance due the Department of $693

not as a Native, not as a Whig, not as a Democrat, but as an Independent man. The People would rally to its support."

Enough. The Whig party *adopted an independent* candidate, who professed no opinions respecting any political question; and, to harmonize its position with his, the Philadelphia Convention refrained from the utterance of any opinions. General Taylor was elected *by the People*, by men of all organizations, my these leading Whigs of Philadelphia: "What, then, shall we do? We must follow the example set by the Philadelphia Convention, and make the position of General Taylor our position. Where he goes, we will go; what he believes, we will believe; his people shall be our people, his will, our will. We are no longer Whigs, or Natives, or Democrats—we are simply the *People*, or, to be more definite, *Taylor Republicans*—his name, our name; his creed on the vexed question, our sole platform! The Whig party *was*—it performed its mission—it *is not*. Peace to its ashes, whence has arisen a Party, still better adapted to the crisis."

As this disorganization of the Whig Party has already been consummated at Philadelphia, and a similar work is to be carried on throughout the country, the question which every man, hitherto a Whig, has to decide is, by "where shall I go?"

To help to a right decision, we will would refer him to the resolutions agreed upon by the Buffalo Convention, in support of which, at the late election, three hundred thousand voters cast their votes. The badge of these men is: *not devotion to a man*, but a series of well-defined, all-important principles. There is something in the title, "Free Democracy," more compatible with the intelligence and self-respect of a free People, than in thet of "Taylor Republicane."

LITERARY NOTICES.

The Massachusetts Quarterly Review. No. V. December, 1848. Boston: Coolidge & Wiley.

This has been pronounced by several journals the best number of the Massachusetts Quarterly yet issued; and we concur in the opinion. The subjects are well chosen, and treated generally in a masterly style. It opens with a characteristic article, from the pen of Theodore Parker, we should judge, on the Political Destination of America. There is little formal logic, but a great deal of genius about it, and where the writer does not permit his intensity of thought to urge him into extravagance, he is witty, profound, and eloquent by turns. The important subjects of Postal Reform and the Free Soil movement, occupy a large space in the number.

London Quarterly Review. October, 1848. New York: Leonard Scott & Co. For sale by A. Adam, Pennsylvania avenue, Washington, D. C.

The opening article on Mills's Political Economy presents a view of the remedies of social evils, different from that embraced in the radical comments of the Westminster on the same work. A long article on Revolution and Reform undertakes to harmonize conservatism and progress. A learned dissertation follows on Ethnology or the science of races. Some literary reviews are given, and the number closes with an article on the state of the Continent, the only one on foreign affairs.

The Edinburgh Review. October, 1848. New York: Leonard Scott & Co. For sale as above.

The opening article on Mills's Political Economy presents a view of the remedies of social evils, different from that embraced in the radical comments of the Westminster on the same work. A long article on Revolution and Reform undertakes to harmonize conservatism and progress. A learned dissertation follows on Ethnology or the science of races. Some literary reviews are given, and the number closes with an article on the state of the Continent, the only one on foreign affairs.

The Daguerreotype and Fashion Miscellany. Boston: Crosby & Nichols.

A compilation, in part, from the periodical publications of England, France, and Germany. It is handsomely got up, appearing in successive numbers on the second and fourth Saturdays of every month, so that they form two volumes a year, each of 500 pages. The terms are $3 per annum, in advance.

It has reached its third volume, of which we have received four numbers. It seems to us that the selections are made with good taste, and so as to provide a delightful entertainment for readers. The design of the work is furnish the American public "with a faithful view of all the noblest efforts made in the great world of European literature."

Littell's Living Age. December 16, 1848. Boston: E. Littell & Co.

Our readers have doubtless noticed from time to time the advertisement in our columns of this mirror of foreign literature. It is an admirably conducted publication, and has lately enhanced its value by securing the correspondence of Mr. Walsh, so long the Paris correspondent of the National Intelligencer.

Although we do not always sympathize with his spirit, or approve his principles, we like to read his letters, for the facts they contain, and the excellent digest they present of the course of events and the opinions of the press abroad.

The Theological Lectures of the Late Rev. David Bogue, D. D. Edited by the Rev. J. S. C. F. Frey. New York: Lewis Colby.

Towards the close of the last century, the Missionary Seminary at Gosport, England, was established, and Dr. Bogue was appointed sole instructor. For the use of the students he composed this Course of Lectures on Theology, Divine Revelation, Biblical Criticism, Dispensations of Religion, Church History, Jewish Antiquities, Sacred Geography, Composition of Sermons, and the Pastoral Office; and by their aid, it is stated, he educated, without any assistant teacher, four hundred ministers, many of whom were highly distinguished, some of whom are still living. For the first time, they have lately been given to the world by Mr. Frey, who was once of the Doctor's students for four years. The American edition is published in two neat volumes. If we were about to become a theological student, we would ask no better method of study than to clearly and beautifully pursued in these lectures. Every part of it is suggestive of thought, and must lead to definite, fruitful, satisfactory research.

Essays and Reviews. By Edwin P. Whipple. New York: D. Appleton & Co. For sale by Taylor & Maury, Booksellers, Pennsylvania avenue, Washington.

These essays and reviews will amply repay a reading. Some of them decree to be studied. With many of the opinions expressed, we have appeared at different times in the North American and the American Review; but their merit entitles them to a place by themselves. The work is published in two handsome volumes, the articles in the first bearing the titles—Macaulay, Poets and Poetry of America, Talfourd, Woods, James's Novels, Sydney Smith, Daniel Webster, Neal's History of the Puritans, Wordsworth, English Poets of the Nineteenth Century, Vagaries of Vallion. The second presents an equal variety of interesting topics. We have not time or room to notice this production according to its deserving, but can only say that it contains much discriminating and profound criticism, embodied in a style of commanding strength.

My Mother. By Rev. Albert Bushnell, Missionary to Af'ca. Oberlin, Ohio. J. M. Fitch.

A very pleasing record by an estimable son, of the piety of an excellent mother, designed chiefly, we presume, to provoke mothers to good works, and quicken their interest in the cause of missions. It is a neat little volume, very prettily bound, with a preface and a poem, by Mrs. Sigourney.

"THE GREAT ISSUE."

This is the title of the ablest pamphlet which has been called forth by the Free Soil Question. It is from the pen of O. C. Gardiner, Associate Editor of the Democratic Review, before that once popular and liberal journal fell into the hands of Hunkers in politics and smatterers in literature. In a clear, vigorous style, occasionally rising into eloquence, it gives a brief and condensed historical sketch of the Free Soil Question in the United States, from the Congresses of 1774 and 1787 to the present time. Although intended to bear upon the late Presidential contest, neither its topic, nor the manner of treating it, has a local or temporary character, being nothing less than a history of the progress of the great leading idea of the Declaration of Independence, to a work which is far better adapted to this present calm than to the hurry and turmoil which preceded the election; and we know of no better service which our Free Soil Associations could offer to the cause of Freedom, than the wide circulation of it among the honest and well-disposed but mistaken masses who voted for Cass and Taylor.

W. C. Bryant & Co., of New York, and B. B. Mussey & Co., of Boston, are publishers; 176 pages, at 25 cents.

J. G. W.

For the National Era.

STRENGTH OF SIN.

BY MRS PHOEBE CAREY.

How lately and this beautiful earth
Was shut by darkness from my sight,
And all the mighty arch of Heaven
Was gathering with its worlds of light.

Waning and waning, one by one
They sunk from sight, and dawn drew near,
Till, lo! along the distant hills
The fire of sunrise burns and glows.

And trembling from the lips of Heaven
To the calm beauty of the earth
I felt what goodness must be His,
Whose love its glories into birth.

More than our hearts can comprehend,
Or ever know, blinded by our sins,
The wisdom and the love of God,
How mighty and how vast they be!

Too fair for us to hate or loose,
This world His hand has placed us in,
But for the presence and the power
Of that most fiery serpent, sin—

That first in Eden's peaceful shade
Unveiled its bright and deadly form,
And living still, and unabused,
Steals its dark poison through our souls.

But from the dust of creatures, blind and lost,
God never wholly turned aside,
As power to save and to redeem
Was sent us when the Saviour died.

All that is left us under heaven,
Hope of the world, and where it centered,
The only name on earth that's given,
Whereby the souls of men are saved.

Thanks unto our God, that He was sent,
A sword warfare to begin,
That in the end shall utterly crush
And bind the infernal strength of sin!

That by Him it shall be at last
Our foes are fallen, and lie behind,
Who gave its death-blow when the cross
Was darkly planted in the world.

And thanks to Him, that when the soul
In agony for mercy calls,
High in the shadow of that cross
The sunlight of His pardon falls.

THE REPORT OF THE POSTMASTER GENERAL.

We commend this interesting report to the attention of our readers. For the sake of making room, we omit a few brief passages of no general interest.

It is a document full of encouragement to the friends of low postage. For eight years proceeding the last of July, a reduction of revenue took place, there was an annual average deficiency in the revenues of the Department of about $125,000. During the same period, the total amount of transportation was diminished 19,000 miles; "so that if the high rates of postage had been continued, the service must have been greatly reduced, or large annual sums would have been drawn from the Treasury for its use." This is one fact.

The act of 1845 reduced the rates more than one-half. At the close of the first year, under the reduced rates, the deficit was less than had been apprehended, being only $607,098; at the close of the second, it was but $25,417; while at the end of the third, June 30th, 1848, there was a surplus of $172,232 beyond the proper expenses of the year. This is another fact.

Then consider the following table:

Last year of High Postage.

Revenue	$4,289,841.80
Expenditure	4,320,731.99
Excess of expenditure	30,890.19

First year of Reduced Postage.

Revenue	$3,487.199
Expenditure	4,084,296
Excess of expenditure	597,097

Third year of Reduced Postage.

Revenue	$4,374,077
Expenditure	4,198,845
Excess of revenue	175,232

While the expenditure has been diminished, and the revenue augmented under the reduced rates, the number of post offices has been multiplied, and the amount of service greatly increased.

Number of post offices in 1845	14,103
Number of post offices in 1848	16,159
Increase in three years	2,056
Annual transportation, July 1st, 1845	35,634,269
Annual transportation, July 1st, 1848	41,012,570
Increase in three years	5,378,310

These are some of the prominent facts disclosed in the report. They show that, although the Postmaster General was opposed in the first instance to an immediate reduction of the rates of postage, he has accomplished much in carrying the new system into successful operation. He has conducted his Department with energy, economy, and vigilance, and deserves high commendation for the fidelity he has manifested to the interests of the people. The Postmaster General visits ors and railroad and steamboat corporations. The country is certainly not indebted to him for the new system of postage, but it is indebted to him largely for the economical arrangements that have promoted its success.

We wish we could express as unqualified approbation of the views of the Postmaster General in relation to a further reduction of postage as we have, of his mode of management under the present rates. But there are points in the report from which we are obliged to dissent. It is assumed that the Post Office Department ought to be a self-sustaining concern, and for the following reasons:

"A large number of the people have little or no connection with the mail system. To subject that class to share the burden of its support by a direct tax, or by imposts levied upon the necessaries of life, would be unjust. Hence the approbation of but few disinterested citizens."

Now, we cannot but believe that the portion of the people, having no connection with the mail system, so far from being large, is very inconsiderable.

The great majority of the free population derives direct, and the whole an indirect benefit from the mail system. How many families are without newspapers, received through the mail, or without distant friends or relations from whom they occasionally expect letters by mail? And we have the testimony of the Postmaster General himself that every part of the Union, every person, every interest, derives advantage at least indirectly from the mail.

"The means," he remarks, "which a well-digested, cheap mail system affords, of a rapid interchange of ideas between different and distant sections of the country, and the consequent increase of knowledge; its influence upon society, but little, if any, less than that of printing; its importance to every literary, social, commercial, and political, would justify the answer, that a lower rate of postage should be adopted, if it can be done without loss to the Union, even though the heretofore maintained in the country—that this Department should be sustained from its own resources.

"A system so intimately connected with all other interests, and the happiness and prosperity of the people—so diversified in its operations, so minute in its details—requires a careful examination of any suggestions for its improvement, and the adoption of such only as experience proves will be useful to the citizens, and render it more perfect."

What injustice there would be in appropriating, if necessary, a portion of moneys out of the Treasury to sustain a system so vital to every section and interest of our country, we cannot understand. Appropriations are made for the army, navy, Indian affairs, improvements of our coast, &c., because they are great public interests—but not one of them surpasses in importance the great interest in which are involved the social, political, and commercial intercourse of the people with each other.

But it may be said, these other interests are of such a nature that they cannot provide for themselves, while the mail system can be made self-supporting. True, and that is the real basis on which to rest the policy of making its own revenues pay its own expenses. It should be made to sustain itself, *because it can do so*, and not because it is a partial interest, and therefore has no right to claim support from the general revenue. For, let it be assumed that a system of cheap postage cannot be sustained without drafts upon the Treasury of the United States, then we hold that the drafts should be made. It would be right that the whole should pay for what benefits the whole.

The Postmaster General recommends the adoption of a uniform rate of five cents for all distances; on all letters of half an ounce and under. Less, he thinks, would not sustain the Department. It was confidently predicted by the opponents of cheap postage, that the reduction already effected would make the Department a burden on the Treasury, and its failure was therefore supposed that such would be the fact for many years. All are disappointed, though the principle of low postage has been only partially adopted, and, of course, not had a chance to show its full power; in the third year of its operation, the Department not only has been relieved from an annual deficit of $425,000, but shows a surplus of revenue, while the service has been extended more than five thousand miles!

We repeat, these results have been accomplished by only a partial application of the principle. The Postmaster now proposes an extension of it, but not its full application. The uniform rate of five cents will, we doubt not, be productive of good results. Correspondence between distant points will be stimulated, and numerous letters brought into the mail, which now contribute nothing to its resources. But why stop at this partial good? The change will not meet the wants of the community—it will not counteract the great evil—we mean the carriage of letters out of the mail between points where letters now bear a rate of five cents, as they formerly only bore that of six and a quarter. The benefits of low postage will never be fully realized till these distances are brought within its operation. A single fact stated by the Postmaster General is worth more than a volume of abstract discussion on this subject. He says:

"One other consequence, expected by the friends of the act of 1845, and which was relied on as a certain means of improving their revenue, has not been realized. It was confidently believed that, by such a reduction in the rates of postage, the business of the expresses would be made unprofitable and be abandoned. This has not been the case. The Boston, Philadelphia, and Baltimore, the principal cities, is continued with much activity, and the revenue seriously impaired by it. In a recent number of the *Public Ledger*, published in Philadelphia, the names of 235 cities and villages are given, which are regularly supplied by express-men with packages from that city, and most of them, doubtless, are also supplied with letters in the same way. The penalties now imposed by law are sufficient for their suppression, if proper means were placed in the hands of the Department for their detection; but it is believed that no rate of postage which would cover the expenses of the system could be adopted sufficiently low to induce them to abandon it."

This is but one case—a mere specimen of what prevails, and will continue to increase, in all sections of our country where trade is active, population dense, towns are numerous, and the facilities of intercourse abundant. We do not believe any law can put down the evil—for the simple reason that Public Sentiment will not sustain it. To make a law effective, the conscience and self-interest and enlightened judgment of the community must be arrayed in its support. We can see but one effectual remedy, and that is, the reduction of the postage to a uniform rate of two cents, pre-paid, on all letters of half an ounce and under. Adopt this rate, and the Express-men be broken up. Put down your postage, and the conscience of the people will go up. If they can be honest on the same terms of profit that they can be dishonest, there can be no mistake as to their choice. If the Government will take their letters for the same rates they now pay the expresses, they will show a profound respect for the law, and the Post Office will be relieved of those whom they now encourage to violate it. Just conceive of the millions of letters passing between Boston and the 235 villages above mentioned, and the millions more out of the mail between New York and Brooklyn, and in other sections densely peopled, and all alive with traffic! Not only would the Post Office Department be relieved into the mail, but the low rate of two cents for all distances would induce a correspondence of incalculable extent, now only prevented by the heavy tax to which it would be subjected.

The number of letters annually passing in the mails, for some years before the imposition of postage to be ranged from twenty-five to twenty-seven millions. In 1846–'47, the second year of reduced postage, they had doubled; and in the third year, ending June 30th, 1848, they had reached, according to the estimate of the Postmaster General, 58,009,075. And this increased correspondence, recollect, must have taken place between points beyond the sections where the greatest number of letters are now written and sent; for these, as we have seen, have not yet been affected, except to a very inconsiderable degree, by the changes that have been made. Suppose the rate of two cents adopted—a change that would immediately tell upon them—and who doubts that the number of letters would soon be quadrupled, instead of doubled? What should hinder? The population of Great Britain is ready to read and write amounte to about seven millions; that of the United States, to about six millions. In 1844, these six millions sent through the mails only 27,831,656 letters—4⅗ per head; in 1848, fifty-eight millions, or 9 8-10ths per head; while the seven millions of Great Britain send through her mails only 76,000,000 letters—10 6-7ths per head. The population, to say the least, is as active, enterprising, intelligent, and as extensively engaged in trade and traffic: why, then, this difference? Because her letter-writing population is taxed only two cents a head, and ours five or ten cents! Now, suppose, under a uniform rate of two cents postage, pre-paid, the reading and writing populations of the country were to equal that of Great Britain—through the mails the same number of letters per head as the same class of population does in Great Britain—by no means an unreasonable supposition. The whole number of letters would then be 171,000,000, which, at two cents, would yield $3,420,000 revenue, only $130,000 less than the revenue from letter postage in the year ending June 30th, 1848!

Intending to continue this subject, we close our remarks at present, by presenting an additional argument in favor of low postage:

"One great reason," says a writer in the Democratic Review, "that letters are more numerous in England is, that means of communication are more rapid. This is a kind of paralox; and, in fact, when the Manchester railroad was projected, it was supposed that its rapid and cheap communication would supersede letters. Experience shows the reverse; and, in 1841, *one-fourth* of the whole correspondence of the United Kingdom was transported on the Liverpool railroad. Indeed, the extension of railroads in England has eminently promoted the increase of correspondence. In the United States, railroads must follow and not lead increased facilities of intercourse, and aid the development of the resources of the Department."

Undoubtedly; but the very sections in which these facilities of intercourse are multiplying with unexampled rapidity, will not be reached by any reduction of the present rates of postage short of two cents; and until that point be reached, private expresses will monopolize a large portion of their correspondence.

Settler at Last.—We learn that the difficulty between the Post Office Department and the Managers of the Railroad and Steamboat Companies, South, have been settled, and that the transportation of the mails on them will be resumed on Tuesday, the 4th.

Ex-Governor Fitzpatrick, of Alabama, has been appointed a United States Senator, to fill the vacancy occasioned by the death of Mr. Lawlis.

THE OHIO LEGISLATURE.

The difficulties predicted by our correspondent two or three weeks since, in the attempt to organize the Ohio Legislature, have taken place.

After numerous ballotings, the Senate elected Mr. Randall, a Van Buren man, from the Western Reserve, Speaker.

On the 12tst ballot J. R. Knapp was elected Clerk. Eighteen ballotings for Sergeant-at-Arms have been held without a choice.

As to the House, no organization has been effected. The Democratic members assembled on the first day of the session, took possession of the Hall, were sworn in by Judge Reed, and have held possession ever since, and will listen to no compromise. Some of the Free Soil men have been sworn in, so that the number now amounts to 42. The Whigs and the rest of the Free-Sollers, numbering 39, assemble from day to day, but refuse to take the oath. It requires a two-thirds to organize—of course, all is at a standstill. These two-thirds rules generally work mischief. The ultimatum of the Whigs and of eight Free-Sollers is, "that the contestors from Hamilton county be excluded until the House is organized, and their case settled, and that no business shall be done until this is effected, except the meeting of the Senate for the purpose of inaugurating the Governor."

This the Democrats reject. Great excitement prevails, but no violence has yet been attempted. Ohio, on many accounts, stands first among the Western States, and her people are distinguished for their intelligence and good sense. But if this anarchy be continued much longer, her reputation will greatly suffer.

For the National Era.

IRELAND'S RESCUE.

BY AUGUSTINE DUGANNE.

Ye who would succor Ireland—
Who hear her lifted hand—
Who would sloths her naked multitudes,
And give them strength to stand—
O, waste not words in sympathy,
Nor shame her with your tears,
But arouse her from her slavishness
Of two long weary years!

Give her not your pikes nor rifles—
She will drop them from her hands;
If ye send her bullets instead,
If ye do, she'll kneel for ages
Like a slave at prayers!

But if ye would rescue Ireland—
Give her spades, and give her ploughs!
Let the arms of her children be
Old her happy farmers' brows!
Let them gather in swamps and marshes—
Let them hurl their iron blows
On the fastness of the bogs—
Worse than even British foes!

If ye'd raise in Ireland armies—
Make them of the spade and plough!
Let their weapons strike her meadows—
Let these clothe her in bravery, all!
Give her wool, ye sympathizers,
And her woven robes she'll wear!
Work is better far than charity,
And strength than the sword!

O, had thus the starving *serviers*
Of France been nationalized all,
To attack the Bastile and the Tuileries,
The Ogni plagues to rout—
If their daily toil had taught,
Her laborers had been led,
Blood had never deluged Paris,
Nor had a throne been for bread.

If ye weep, in truth, for Ireland—
If your pity for her bleeds—
Buy ye thus her bogs and deserts,
And bestow them on her Poor!
Place each child upon the open homestead—
Let his toil support his roof—
And the Shade of Ireland's Slave
From that man will keep aloof.

May the God who reigns in heaven,
Give *large freedom* to the oppressed!
But if ye can give her *happiness*,
She'll quarrel with her chains,
Then, arise, ye friends of Ireland,
Give her your hearts and hands—
Give them ploughs and spades for weapons,
And for battle-fields—the soil!

CHOLERA.

Saturday, the 2d instant, the packet ship New York arrived at quarantine, New York, twenty-two days from Liverpool, with passengers. A week before her arrival, when off the coast of Nova Scotia, a number of cases of disease, resembling Asiatic cholera, suddenly occurred, and before her arrival seven out of the seventeen cases proved fatal. The cabin passengers were not affected. The vessel has since been lying in quarantine, and a few additional cases have occurred, some of which have resulted in death. At the latest dates, the disease was decreasing. No case had occurred in the city of New York.

The disease may have been Asiatic cholera. It is not improbable that the subjects of it were within the range of the epidemic influence before they left Europe, and received the predisposition to it, which subsequently was developed by confinement, bad air, want of cleanliness, unwholesome diet, or some other existing cause. The cause might then be termed *sporadic*, not *epidemic*. This, indeed, would seem to be verified by the non-extension of the disease, and its abatement. Had the *epidemic* influence reached our shores, no quarantine could exclude it. It would spread over the country despite all obstacles.

THE GREAT WEST.

"The vote looks like a vote *against the West*."—*Ohio Statesman.*

"We endorse that with an emphasis. It is time the West set up for itself, if selfishness is the predominant and ruling idea in the East and South."—*Cincinnati Enquirer.*

"The rights and the honor of the West have not been respected by many of the States of the Union. We will soon be strong enough to command respect, as we have always merited it."—*Detroit Free Press.*

"If the West had been content to herself, she would not have had to complain of other sections of the Union. But in this contest she presented a candidate who had deliberately repudiated the principles of her birth, and proclaimed unconstitutial the foundation of her liberties and greatness, the Ordinance of 1787. Lewis Cass was no longer a representative of the West, but of a ruling spirit of the West than is the notorious Dickinson (who wished he had been born in Virginia) a representative of the Democracy of the North. Both renounced their allegiance."

"The radical Democracy of New York were not only willing, but desirous of doing justice to the West. Of nobility they had none, but of its sons as the candidate of Democracy and Freedom. We ourselves suggested the name of Governor Young as the candidate for the Convention met. We cared not for the man, we sought only one identified with the great Ordinance of Freedom, the Proviso of 1787.

"Instead of giving us a candidate fit for the support of *freemen*, the Baltimore Convention fraudulently imposed upon us a man who had made his reputation by the sale of the rights of millions of the South. His friends now complain that the South have betrayed him. Well, why should they not? It was the first example of betrayal.

"Let the West in turn do the same! Let such its public men to be true to its rights, and not turn from its fair fields to batten in the moors of the South, and the Empire of the Union will respect its greatness"—*Albany Atlas.*

An admirable rebuke. It is not the first time that the *Statesman* has been guilty of misleading its friends, and diverting their attention from the true cause of their defeat. In addition to the reasons given by the *Enquirer*, it must be said that the West, that, in probably every State of that section, Gen. Cass has secured only a plurality, there being a majority of the whole number of votes against him. And will the Statesman assume that the West voted against itself? The West, like the East, has pronounced against the claims of General Cass by a majority of its voters—but General Cass and the West are not convertible terms.

RECOGNITION OF THE LIBERIAN REPUBLIC.

It seems that President Roberts failed to induce our Government to recognise the Liberian Republic. But he has been more fortunate with England and France, the Governments of which countries have promptly and cordially extended to the new Republic the courtesy of a recognition. Both Governments, too, have issued orders to the commanders of their respective squadrons on the Coast of Africa, to place a certain number of vessels, when necessary, at the disposal of President Roberts, in any efficient effort against the slave trade. Mr. Roberts is now engaged in negotiating a treaty of commerce with the British Government. A correspondent of the *Colonization Herald*, from London, October 26th, says:

"He showed to Mr. George Thompson and me last night the draft of the treaty, in the handwriting of Mr. Labouchere, the President of the Board of Trade. The treaty is a most liberal one, based on perfect equality and reciprocity between the two States—Great Britain and Liberia.

"Mr. Roberts thinks in a very few days more this treaty will be signed, sealed, and delivered; also, and then he will be ready to go back to Liberia, having accomplished all the principal business of the object which brought him to Europe."

Our Government, under the influence of the violent slaveholders, has stubbornly refused to recognise the Republic, and thereby injured the flourishing commerce we once carried on with that Republic. Under the same influence, it seems, it refuses to recognise the Republic of Liberia.

This is really too contemptible for a Government that has any pretensions to common intelligence. It is paying rather too much to the cotton-planters of the South.

CORRESPONDENCE OF THE NATIONAL ERA.

Gibson Square, London, Nov. 17, 1848.

To the Editor of the National Era:

The feeling of alarm entertained respecting Vienna have been fully realized, and the view which in a former letter I gave you respecting the noble policy of the Emperor in sparing her justified. "Those who take the sword shall perish by the sword;" and the Viennese, by neglecting the warning which these words convey, have destroyed themselves, and their city, the last refuge of constitutional liberty, it may be, for many years to come.

The conduct of the barbarians employed by the Emperor to crush the rising liberties of his subjects—under the pretence of chastising their rebellion—was worthy only of the cause in which it was devoted. A writer in the *Daily News* gives the best account of the barbarities which were practised by the victorious army; and I give you an extract from his narrative, which is the least serve to show that the cause of freedom in that part of the world is opposed by the sort of men who may be expected to be its future enemies.

"You will fancy you are reading some barbarous records of the middle ages, and yet how dull, how colorless, does this description seem to me, and how different from the reality! I was in town this morning for the first time, and met a person who lives in the Leopoldstadt, in the street where the Main house also is, and who gave us some particulars of the fire. The saloon had been turned into an hospital for the wounded, and besides, there were a number of corpses in it, about 500 altogether; dreadful to say, the building was fired by the Croats, who stood guard before the entrance to prevent escape; the screams of the women and children could be heard for hours, the rending, and not one escaped. The Red Mantles are armed as follows: two daggers, two pistols, a long gun, a bayonet, a sword, a hatchet, a rope and a needle, and a long hatchet slung at their backs. . . . . One of these horrible savages was brought before the commander and punished *for having assassinated 47 persons*, in the Tabor strasse, which even they considered too much."

This writer says, Jellachich wears a superb military dress, and that the Croats in Croats had permission to pillage from morning till evening. "The poor woman at the Bathing-place has both her breasts cut off by the Croats, and they threw another poor woman into the fire."

The students, who made almost super-human efforts to save the impending danger from their beloved city, have been rewarded by the gallows. "The impressment into the army—" happiest (says the narrator) they who fell arms in hand, ignorant of what befell those whom they left behind them."

The greatest anxiety has been felt here, for several days past, as to the issue of events at Hermin. The King of Prussia assembled the Landsburg as his Prime Minister, has opposed the wishes of his people, and exoited their very natural fears that their acquired liberties will be filched from them. Petitions to the throne have been made in vain. Under the advice of the obnoxious minister, the National Assembly has been prohibited from meeting in Berlin.

The assembly has advised nothing more than passive resistance to the measures of the King and his Ministers; and this is the ground standing by the Assembly have been ordered to disperse. The people, who seem to be but too ready for resorting to arms, it is yet hoped will be kept quiet, and the Assembly allowed to meet. At the public meeting at the Sing Academy, they have assembled in the Hall of the Schutzen-gild, from which they were expelled, and the manner of their expulsion is given in the following passage, by a correspondent to the *Chronicle* this morning:

"The Commissary of Police entered the hall of meeting, and summoned the Vice President and members to quit the premises. To this the whole house responded by crying, 'We shall not go.' 'We arms.' Upon this, two or three officers with a party of soldiers, entered, and, repeating the summons, received the same reply. Upon this the officers exclaimed, 'We will not use bayonets, but other means;' and the soldiers advanced, seized the chairs on which the Ministers was seated, and carried him as gently as possible to the door, where they deposited him safely. The remaining members, upon this, arose, and the Vice President, man, that the Assembly had no other course left, in his late Presidential election, decided against the Tariff of 1846, and in favor of the Tariff of 1842. So far as he knew anything about the late contest, he had the satisfaction of knowing that he had been triumphant—that had succeeded in electing their man—for the gentleman from Pennsylvania was the genuine Free Soil candidate. It was contended by those who have been successful in the issue, that General Taylor was a true, *bona fide*, real, genuine, Simon Pure, Free Soil candidate; and that Messrs. Van Buren and his friends were mere spurious interlopers, and had no right to claim a place on the Free Soil platform. Indeed, such, he (Mr. Hale) thought was the fact, and *true* Free Soil candidate at the late election was General Taylor. The question had been settled, and he hoped that the Secretary would be directed to make out a list of the appointments in his department, and that they might never hereafter be told that the question at issue was the Tariff of 1846. [Renewed laughter.]

Mr. Foote was sure that the Senator from New Hampshire had made a great mistake. He would ask, upon what principle it was that the entire West and Southwest General Taylor was known everywhere in opposition to Free Soil, and his opponent was objected to by many, on the ground that he was not a Free Soil man, and was not a Free Soil man as the candidate of the Whig party.

Mr. Cameron said he was led to the conclusion that General Taylor was a Free Soil candidate, both in the South, from the vote of Mississippi. [Laughter.]

The question being taken on the motion to print 40,000 extra copies, it was decided in the affirmative—yeas 29, nays 21.

Mr. Douglas presented an interesting memorial from the Legislature of Illinois, and others from New York, setting forth the importance of a railroad across the isthmus of Panama, to connect the Atlantic with the Pacific, and proposing to construct such a road with their own means, asking the Government simply to contract with them at a reasonable price for the transportation of its mails and munitions of war over said road, for a period of twenty years—the road to be completed within three years. The memorial was ordered to be printed.

Mr. Badger presented a memorial of the Kekuskee Primitive Baptist Association, in the State of North Carolina, praying the repeal of all laws authorizing the appointment of chaplains in either branch of congress, the army, navy, and other public stations; and that Congress will not further legislate on the subject of religion; which was read and ordered to be printed.

Mr. Douglas, pursuant to notice, introduced a bill for the admission of California, as a State into the Union, which was read twice and ordered to be printed.

Mr. Davis, of Mississippi, introduced a joint resolution providing for the appointment of Hon. Rufus Choate, of Massachusetts, and Gideon Hawley, of New York, as Regents of the Smithsonian Institute; which was read three times and passed.

On motion of Mr. King, the senate proceeded to the election of Chaplain; when, upon the first ballot, the Rev. *Henry Slicer*, having received 28 votes out of 47 cast, was reelected.

The Senate then adjourned.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

On motion of Mr. Truman Smith, and after debate, the rules of the House during last session of Congress were adopted for the government of the present.

The annual report of the Secretary of the Treasury was received, and 10,000 extra copies ordered to be printed.

Mr. Ashmun stated that he had received a letter from Messrs. Gales & Seaton, withdrawing their names as applicants for printing the debates of the House. They did not wish to be considered the "pensioned agents" of any Government.

The States being called, a large number of resolutions of every class and variety were introduced and disposed of.

Mr. Goggin introduced his bill to reduce the rates of postage, making them uniform; and to correct the abuse of the franking privilege. Referred to the Committee of the Whole, by yeas 77, nays 47.

Mr. Cobb introduced a bill to prevent other House from disposing of any part of its contingent fund, except by joint resolution. Read twice, and ordered to a third reading.

A proposition from James Gorden Bennett, of the New York Herald, proposing to publish the proceedings and debates of the House, for the present session, for $2,200, and engraving a daily circulation of 20,000 copies. Referred.

Among the resolutions offered was the following, by Mr. Eckert, of Pennsylvania, which was agreed to—yeas 96, nays 93.

Resolved, That the Committee of Ways and Means be instructed to inquire into the expediency of reporting a Tariff bill, based on the principles of the Tariff of 1842.

After the transaction of other unimportant business,

The House adjourned.

Tuesday, December 12, 1848.

SENATE.

Mr. Calhoun, of South Carolina, appeared in his seat.

Numerous petitions of no general interest were presented.

A resolution, by Mr. Bradbury, setting apart Thursday of each week for the consideration of private bills.

On motion of Mr. King, and by unanimous consent of the Senate, the standing committees were then appointed viva voce, the names of the several committees having been agreed upon informally. The names of the Chairmen are as follows:

Foreign Relations—Mr. Hannegan.
Finance—Mr. Dickinson.
Commerce—Mr. Dix.
Manufactures—Mr. Dickinson.
Agriculture—Mr. Sturgeon.
Military Affairs—Mr. Benton.
Militia—Mr. Rusk.
Naval Affairs—Mr. Yulee.
Public Lands—Mr. Hunter.
Private Land Claims—Mr. Downs.
Indian Affairs—Mr. Atchison.
Claims—Mr. Dodge.
Revolutionary Claims—Mr. Bright.
Judiciary—Mr. Butler.
Post Offices and Post Roads—Mr. Niles.
Roads and Canals—Mr. Hannegan.
Pensions—Mr. Johnson, of Louisiana.
District of Columbia—Mr. Johnson, of Ga.
Patents and Patent Office—Mr. Westcott.
Retrenchment—Mr. Turney.
Territories—Mr. Douglas
Public Buildings—Mr. Hunter.
Contingent Fund—Mr. Walker.
Printing—Mr. Cameron.
Engrossed Bills—Mr. Rusk
Library—Mr. Pearce.
Enrolled Bills—Mr. Rusk.

The resolutions relating to the power of Congress to make all needful rules and regulations for the territory acquired from Mexico were received from the President of the United States.

On motion of Mr. Yulee, the bill to promote the efficiency of the navy, and the accompanying documents was made the special order of the day for Tuesday next.

After the consideration of Executive business, the Senate adjourned.

THE NEW YORK TRIBUNE.
[PRICE OF THE WEEKLY TRIBUNE REDUCED.]
PROSPECTUS FOR 1849.

A YEAR of unusual events has passed rapidly to its close—a year destined to be memorable in the history of the world. The first month of the year witnessed the casting down of that delusive idol which made the name of Democracy, much of the earth's surface evince against Liberty and Humanity—against Freedom of Thought and of Action—against our speaking Integrity and fearless Love of Truth. The last month of the year, we are justified in believing that the power of a Name over the Nation's imagination and feelings has received a shock from which recovery whatever was called "Democratic" to pass triumphant and unchallenged over the ruins of Democracy. Many favorable circumstances will doubtless evolve new perils for the country; but the People will be better prepared to meet and master them by the potency of words and names—has passed away forever.

Europe, too, has experienced unwonted convulsions, and the signal it as of Freedom, re lighted in February by the throes of Labor and Right, has flashed from Germany, Hungary, Italy, and been kindled, but only to be quenched, in unhappy Ireland. Even Switzerland, after having hurled forth from the watch towers of dauntless Berlin; they gleam and flash in the Alps, and their warning survey with glorious courage, from the battlements of heroic Vienna. Throughout the length and breadth of the European Continent, the People have put to be mistaken, of the stern uprising of the long-shaked Titan against his chains. Even the old and effete empires whereby Nations were lulled to sleep in wretchedness and despair, have been compelled to listen to the voice of a People are now demanded with a unanimity and earnestness which will not be denied, and which, in the future, we are justified in believing that the power of a Name over the Nation's imagination and feelings has received a shock from which recovery whatever was called "Democratic" to pass triumphant and unchallenged over the ruins of Democracy. Many favorable circumstances will doubtless evolve new perils for the country; but the People will be better prepared to meet and master them by the potency of words and names—has passed away forever.

Europe, too, has experienced unwonted convulsions, and the signal it as of Freedom, re lighted in February by the throes of Labor and Right, has flashed from Germany, Hungary, Italy, and been kindled, but only to be quenched, in unhappy Ireland. Even Switzerland, after having hurled forth from the watch towers of dauntless Berlin; they gleam and flash in the Alps, and their warning survey with glorious courage, from the battlements of heroic Vienna. Throughout the length and breadth of the European Continent, the People have put to be mistaken, of the stern uprising of the long-shaked Titan against his chains. Even the old and effete empires whereby Nations were lulled to sleep in wretchedness and despair, have been compelled to listen to the voice of a People are now demanded with a unanimity and earnestness which will not be denied, and which, in the future, we are justified in believing that the power of a Name over the Nation's imagination and feelings has received a shock from which recovery

Some further debate ensued, in which Messrs. Davis of Massachusetts, King, Cameron, Allen, Hale, Foote, Niles, Westcott, and Metcalfe, participated.

Mr. King did not think the Tariff question had been an issue in the recent contest.

Mr. Davis, of Mississippi, said that if it had been tested in any particular locality, the issue was kept back by the action of the Whigs.

Mr. Hale said he would vote for the printing of the smallest number of the documents proposed, rather than see the public money squandered in the shape of documents. He was surprised to hear from that g

THE NATIONAL ERA.

MR. GIDDINGS'S REPLY TO TRUMAN SMITH.

To the Hon. Truman Smith:

Sir: Your letter directed to Mr. Gregory, of this State, but in fact addressed mostly to myself, came first to my notice a few days before the Presidential Election, but too late for me to make any reply until that event had passed by. Indeed, the letter was obviously intended to attack my moral and political integrity, justice to myself and friends forbids that I should suffer it to pass unnoticed.

In my remarks at Delaware, to which you refer with so much apparent feeling, I noticed several acts of Mr. Van Buren which had been charged as favoring Southern institutions, by Messrs. Webster, Ewing, Corwin, and others. In extenuation of those acts of Mr. Van Buren, I cited the votes and official acts of the gentleman who made the charges. This you call "heaping contumely upon them gentlemen." I thought it but what authority you take upon yourself their vindication, or thus attempt to make up an issue for them. If they have been wronged by me, they are abundantly able to do themselves justice. When they, or either of them, shall call for explanation, they well know they will receive it: but I am not informed that either of them has authorized you to call me to account for what I said in relation to them.

You, however, possess the undoubted right to know what I said concerning yourself, and to understand clearly the evidence on which I based my statements. So far as you refer to the letter of Governor Slade to Captain Allen, I had but a remark that I distinctly informed the audience of the facts on which I based my opinion—that that letter was written at *Washington, Hartford, Washington City*—and then left them to judge for themselves. I did not understand that you wrote the letter. It was said at the time that you and Mr. Crittenden, of Kentucky, wrote it. The very cautious language in which you deny that *you* wrote it, and the careful manner in which you evade all denial of your knowledge of it, *as stated often by Mr. Crittenden* or by others, can leave no doubt of the real state of facts. If further evidence were necessary, I would refer to your own express declaration, made to Mr. Hall, of Ohio Eden, a delegate to the Philadelphia Convention, that such a letter was written and sent to General Taylor, and that he signed it. The very studious denial of this matter has been abundantly exposed by the editor of the *Union* and by the editor of the *National Era*. I will, therefore, occupy no more time on this portion of your letter, but will wait to hear that you have written a more particularly of a personal character.

You say that I *"recited"* a lecture on the opinion which you entertained of General Taylor." It is very extraordinary that you should allege that I recited, when, without looking at my notes, I stated what I said. You must have been wrongly informed. I stated very distinctly, and with feelings of sincere regret, that your feelings in regard to the constitutional rights of the people of the free States were radically different from mine. I held that Congress had no constitutional power to invade the people of this District in the exercise, disgrace, or the surrender, of sustaining the slave trade or slavery; while you held that we were bound in conscience to lend our support to slave in their disgrace, and so participate in their guilt. As evidence of these facts, I stated to the audience that in the last Congress you were a member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, that you reported a bill to pay from the Treasury seventy thousand dollars to the Spanish slave-merchants who pretended to have the people on board the *Amistad*. That the records thus show you to be in favor of supporting the Spanish slave trade at the expense of the people of Connecticut and Ohio. I thus recited the official documents which I had in hand showing your public acts, and this you seem to regard as a reproach upon your character. I also stated to the audience that in the same session of Congress, you were chairman of the same committee, which, during the late session, reported bills to pay one million money to the slaveholders in Maryland, as a compensation for their losses in the war with the late war with England, escaped from their masters in that State on board the British fleet. That you held it to be your high privilege and duty as a Representative in Congress to legislate upon the price of human flesh, and blood, and bones, and sinews; while I regard such legislation as subversive of the rights of the people of the free States, and disgraceful to the Representatives of freemen. You voted for those bills. There stand your official acts upon the records of the country. If they constitute a *reproach*, the fault is yours, not mine. I spoke and voted against those bills. There you and myself stood in open and direct opposition to each other. It was therefore quite natural that you should support a candidate for President who would be willing thus to involve the people of this in the disgrace and support of Slavery, while I, with my views of the Constitution, and of our rights under it, could sustain no man who did such doctrines.

I stated other and still more important reasons why you and I should be likely to differ in our candidates for President. You had frequently declared that "Wilmot Proviso" was the true policy of Slavery-from the territory which we have acquired from Mexico, to be a *"blessing,"* and was therefore willing to support as a candidate for President a slaveholder, whose education, habits, and social relations, led him to favor the extension of slavery, without any expression of his opinions in opposition to such a policy, especially upon our constitutional rights. This net of reviving the chains of oppression upon unborn millions of our race, and of degrading our own offspring by placing them in union with the slaveholders of New Mexico and California, upon terms of disgraceful inequality; giving to the holder of one hundred slaves in the United States power and influence over our own rights and interests equal to sixty-one of the intelligent citizens of Connecticut or Ohio. I regard as a subversion of the Constitution, a high-handed offence against Heaven, and an outrage upon mankind, unequalled in the history of Christian nations. On this subject you and I were directly and fully opposed. As statesmen and as Christians we stood in unmistakable opposition to each other on all these points. You, of course, would support a candidate whose sentiments agreed with your own. I could not be expected to support any one whose principles were thus abhorrent to my own views of propriety; therefore, whatever the people of Delaware county that your anxiety for General Taylor could in no degree influence me to support him, or give the slaveholding party a majority. It was impossible that you and I could agree upon a Presidential candidate, as we differed upon the elementary principles upon which our Government was based. It was therefore proper to reply thus far to your charge of having "reviled" you. I now proceed to notice your attacks upon myself.

You assail me in a manner quite unusual among gentlemen occupying the stations which we fill. You say that you have caused the Journal of the House of Representatives to be searched, in order to determine the number of times during the late session which I failed to answer to my name on the call of the House, and from which I believe the first time that ever a Member of Congress acknowledged himself to have entered upon such work. For this high dignity of the body to which we belong, I hope it may be long. For Sir, do you really imagine that you can make my constituents suspect my habits of industry? You little know the character of the people by whom these imputations are addressed. Can you imagine yourself to have been constituted my overseer? Has it come to this, that you consider yourself clothed with supervisory powers over the Representative of seventy thousand of the sovereign people of our gallant State? Have they, sir, respected you enough to sit in judgment on the habits of their Congressional agent? Had you referred to the official acts, to my votes, or to my political opinions, I should have felt a disposition to reply. My opinions and public acts have been together essayed public scrutiny, and they are the proper subjects of examination. But to them you take no exception, while you attempt to charge me with inattention to my public duties. Permit me to say, sir, that there are members of that body whom my constituents would be willing to excuse, if they never appeared in their seats on any occasion.

I think you cannot be ignorant that I have long regarded the practice, so common in the House of Representatives, of frequently calling the yeas and nays, as an unnecessary waste of time, and disreputable to that body. We have often acted on adjournments, and on the "calls of the House," and for suspending the rules, I usually pay no attention to them, but occupy my time in other business. We are told that in the early days of the Republic they were seldom called more than six or eight times in a session. You say they were called *three hundred and seventy-five times* during the late session. Each roll occupies about twenty minutes. One hundred and twelve hours were thus occupied. Our daily sittings would average less than four hours, and deducting Saturdays, more than one month's time was wasted in this useless ceremony. But supposing twenty of those calls were really required, a period equal to nineteen days of the whole session must have been wasted. And you complain that I did not waste my time with the others. To discourage this practice, I have refused to answer to my name in all cases except such as I deemed of some importance. If you or any one will show an instance in which I failed to answer to my name when the public business was of the least importance to the public, I shall admit that I was absent from the House. But these calls are on my part so frivolous, I discourage what I have long regarded an evil, you have endeavored to torture into evidence that I was absent from my seat. I was often told that evil-disposed persons would make such an attempt to misrepresent me to my constituents. I unfortunately answered, that I represented a constituency too intelligent to be affected by acts of such a character.

You say that you are always at hand, (except for special reasons,) prepared to give your vote. It is not my business to call you to account on that subject. But I always have considered it one of the most unhealthy things of the trumpeter of his own merits. I have not the Journal of the House of Representatives before me, nor can I get it short of Washington City, but I well recollect the opinion that you were absent during the late session a far greater number of times than I was. You were frequently at Washington, with public funds to promote the election of General Taylor. And you was also in attendance at the Philadelphia Convention. But in this attempt to count time and, as I think, hold yourself up as a pattern of official industry, you have provoked scrutiny. You were not only absent yourself on political work, but you were also employed in getting others to leave Congress for other purposes. I do not wish to name other gentlemen, but I will make you this proposition: If you, through the Journal, will point out what will draw from you, as Chairman of the Whig Executive Committee, did not pay the expenses of other members of Congress to and from Washington City, and their personal expenses to the amount of five times the number of days that I was, I will endeavor to show your error by making out a similar account against you, showing how many days' expenses you paid to each member who stumped it for General Taylor, during the session. Yet you seem to regard my absence as a high crime, and misdemeanor. I only remark that I distinctly informed the audience of the facts on which I based my opinion—that that letter was written at *Washington, Hartford, Washington City*—and then left them to judge for themselves. I did not understand that you wrote the letter. It was said at the time that you and Mr. Crittenden, of Kentucky, wrote it. The very cautious language in which you deny that *you* wrote it, and the careful manner in which you evade all denial of your knowledge of it, *as stated often by Mr. Crittenden* or by others, can leave no doubt of the real state of facts. If further evidence were necessary, I would refer to your own express declaration, made to Mr. Hall, of Ohio Eden, a delegate to the Philadelphia Convention, that such a letter was written and sent to General Taylor, and that he signed it. The very studious denial of this matter has been abundantly exposed by the editor of the *Union* and by the editor of the *National Era*. I will, therefore, occupy no more time on this portion of your letter, but will wait to hear that you have written a more particularly of a personal character.

You say that I *"recited"* a lecture on the opinion which you entertained of General Taylor." It is very extraordinary that you should allege that I recited, when, without looking at my notes, I stated what I said. You must have been wrongly informed. I stated very distinctly, and with feelings of sincere regret, that your feelings in regard to the constitutional rights of the people of the free States were radically different from mine. I held that Congress had no constitutional power to invade the people of this District in the exercise, disgrace, or the surrender, of sustaining the slave trade or slavery; while you held that we were bound in conscience to lend our support to slave in their disgrace, and so participate in their guilt. As evidence of these facts, I stated to the audience that in the last Congress you were a member of the Committee on Foreign Affairs, that you reported a bill to pay from the Treasury seventy thousand dollars to the Spanish slave-merchants who pretended to have the people on board the *Amistad*." That the records thus show you to be in favor of supporting the Spanish slave trade at the expense of the people of Connecticut and Ohio. I thus recited the official documents which I had in hand showing your public acts, and this you seem to regard as a reproach upon your character. I also stated to the audience that in the same session of Congress, you were chairman of the same committee, which, during the late session, reported bills to pay one million money to the slaveholders in Maryland, as a compensation for their losses in the war with the late war with England, escaped from their masters in that State on board the British fleet. That you held it to be your high privilege and duty as a Representative in Congress to legislate upon the price of human flesh, and blood, and bones, and sinews; while I regard such legislation as subversive of the rights of the people of the free States, and disgraceful to the Representatives of freemen. You voted for those bills. There stand your official acts upon the records of the country. If they constitute a *reproach*, the fault is yours, not mine. I spoke and voted against those bills. There you and myself stood in open and direct opposition to each other. It was therefore quite natural that you should support a candidate for President who would be willing thus to involve the people of this in the disgrace and support of Slavery, while I, with my views of the Constitution, and of our rights under it, could sustain no man who did such doctrines.

I stated other and still more important reasons why you and I should be likely to differ in our candidates for President. You had frequently declared that "Wilmot Proviso" was the true policy of Slavery-from the territory which we have acquired from Mexico, to be a *"blessing,"* and was therefore willing to support as a candidate for President a slaveholder, whose education, habits, and social relations, led him to favor the extension of slavery, without any expression of his opinions in opposition to such a policy, especially upon our constitutional rights. This net of reviving the chains of oppression upon unborn millions of our race, and of degrading our own offspring by placing them in union with the slaveholders of New Mexico and California, upon terms of disgraceful inequality; giving to the holder of one hundred slaves in the United States power and influence over our own rights and interests equal to sixty-one of the intelligent citizens of Connecticut or Ohio. I regard as a subversion of the Constitution, a high-handed offence against Heaven, and an outrage upon mankind, unequalled in the history of Christian nations. On this subject you and I were directly and fully opposed. As statesmen and as Christians we stood in unmistakable opposition to each other on all these points. You, of course, would support a candidate whose sentiments agreed with your own. I could not be expected to support any one whose principles were thus abhorrent to my own views of propriety; therefore, whatever the people of Delaware county that your anxiety for General Taylor could in no degree influence me to support him, or give the slaveholding party a majority. It was impossible that you and I could agree upon a Presidential candidate, as we differed upon the elementary principles upon which our Government was based. It was therefore proper to reply thus far to your charge of having "reviled" you. I now proceed to notice your attacks upon myself.

You assail me in a manner quite unusual among gentlemen occupying the stations which we fill. You say that you have caused the Journal of the House of Representatives to be searched, in order to determine the number of times during the late session which I failed to answer to my name on the call of the House, and from which I believe the first time that ever a Member of Congress acknowledged himself to have entered upon such work. For this high dignity of the body to which we belong, I hope it may be long. For Sir, do you really imagine that you can make my constituents suspect my habits of industry? You little know the character of the people by whom these imputations are addressed. Can you imagine yourself to have been constituted my overseer? Has it come to this, that you consider yourself clothed with supervisory powers over the Representative of seventy thousand of the sovereign people of our gallant State? Have they, sir, respected you enough to sit in judgment on the habits of their Congressional agent? Had you referred to my official acts, to my votes, or to my political opinions, I should have felt a disposition to reply. My opinions and public acts have been together essayed public scrutiny, and they are the proper subjects of examination. But to them you take no exception, while you attempt to charge me with inattention to my public duties. Permit me to say, sir, that there are members of that body whom my constituents would be willing to excuse, if they never appeared in their seats on any occasion.

I think you cannot be ignorant that I have long regarded the practice, so common in the House of Representatives, of frequently calling the yeas and nays, as an unnecessary waste of time, and disreputable to that body. We have often acted on adjournments, and on the "calls of the House," and for suspending the rules, I usually pay no attention to them, but occupy my time in other business. We are told that in the early days of the Republic they were seldom called more than six or eight times in a session. You say they were called *three hundred and seventy-five times* during the late session. Each roll occupies about twenty minutes. One hundred and twelve hours were thus occupied. Our daily sittings would average less than four hours, and deducting Saturdays, more than one month's time was wasted in this useless ceremony. But supposing twenty of those calls were really required, a period equal to nineteen days of the whole session must have been wasted. And you complain that I did not waste my time with the others. To discourage this practice, I have refused to answer to my name in all cases except such as I deemed of some importance. If you or any one will show an instance in which I failed to answer to my name when the public business was of the least importance to the public, I shall admit that I was absent from the House. But these calls